



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007**

AUGUST 31, 2007

John Bush, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.8793

M: 703.628.2874

John.Bush@BearingPoint.com

Brett Haan, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.4968

M: 202.360.9616

Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com

Robert B. Kelly, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20004

T: 202.626.6216

F: 202.626.6780

rkelly@ssd.com

Douglas L. Povich, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor

Tysons Corner, VA 22182

T: 703.720.7888

F: 703.720.7801

dpovich@ssd.com

Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC

2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133

Lafayette, CO 80026

T: 303.444.1480

F: 888.361.0603

JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OVERVIEW.....	1
I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS	6
A. Overview of Status Against Schedule.....	6
1. Summary of Status.....	6
2. Recent Developments Regarding Schedule	6
B. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120).....	7
1. Wave 1, Stage 1	9
2. Wave 2, Stage 1	10
3. Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR Band)	11
4. Wave 4, Stage 1	12
C. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band).....	13
1. Wave 1, Stage 2	14
2. Wave 2, Stage 2	15
3. Wave 3, Stage 2	15
4. Wave 4, Stage 2	16
D. Publication of Aggregated Median Cost Data (FRAs)	16
E. Planning Funding	17
1. Planning Funding Statistics	17
2. Fast Track Update.....	18
F. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress.....	18
G. FCC Orders	24
H. Elections.....	25
1. Economic Area Elections.....	25
2. Expansion Band Elections	25
II. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA	26
A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured	26
B. Frequency Proposals	27
C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review	28
D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications.....	30
E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing).....	31

III.	COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS	33
A.	Stakeholder Inquiries	33
B.	TA-Produced Materials and the TA’s Website.....	33
C.	Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training	34
1.	Meetings and Conferences.....	34
2.	Webinars.....	35
3.	Licensee Outreach Campaigns.....	35
IV.	FINANCIAL.....	36
A.	Reconfiguration Expenditures	36
1.	800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs	36
2.	Sprint Nextel Costs	36
3.	1.9 GHz Clearing Costs	37
B.	Letters of Credit	37
C.	800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reviews	37
D.	External Audit.....	38
E.	Transition Administrator.....	38
1.	Fees, Expenses, and Staffing	38
2.	Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees.....	38

List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1	Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 2	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, and the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 3	Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 4	Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 5	Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 6	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 7	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 8	Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 9	Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives for Quarter Ended June 30, 2007
APPENDIX 10	800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through June 30, 2007

OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,¹ the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.²

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum. At the beginning of the program, the FCC’s *Report and Order* envisioned two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”) between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the actual reconfiguration of the radio systems.

Stage 1: Clearing of Channels 1-120

The reconfiguration of 800 MHz systems in Waves 1 through 3 that were previously operating on Channels 1-120 is progressing as planned. Generally, Stage 1 licensees have negotiated FRAs with Sprint Nextel and completed their physical reconfiguration activities in accordance with their FRAs with a minimum of disruption.

As of June 30, 2007, 88 percent of the 1,006 Stage 1 licensees not affected by international border areas have successfully negotiated TA-approved FRAs. This includes 99 percent of Wave 1, 99 percent of Wave 2, 91 percent of Wave 3, and 62 percent of Wave 4 licensees not affected by the international border areas with Mexico and Canada. For Wave 3, 14 licensees entered into Planning Funding Agreements (“PFAs”) and were granted additional time to complete planning work to arrive at a reconfiguration cost estimate. For Wave 4 non-border area licensees, 11 licensees entered into PFAs and were granted additional time to complete planning and negotiate FRAs. For those Wave 4 licensees affected by the border areas, the FCC announced a third extension of the mandatory negotiation period in a Public Notice on

¹ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2005); *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); *Public Notice*, “Commission Seeks Comment on *Ex Parte* Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005); *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005) (“*Memorandum Opinion and Order*”); *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. July 26, 2007) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

² 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3).

June 29, 2007.³ There are 201 licensees in Wave 4 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas that are affected by this extension. The development of border area frequency plans remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the program.

With regard to physical clearing of Channels 1-120, as of June 30, 2007, Sprint Nextel reported that 81 percent of all Stage 1 non-border area FRAs, accounting for 59 percent of all Stage 1 call signs, have been cleared of non-Sprint Nextel and non-SouthernLINC licensees.

Stage 2: NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band Reconfiguration

For NPSPAC licensees, reconfiguration has been more complex and time consuming, in part because of the additional step of negotiating a Planning Funding Agreement with Sprint Nextel *prior* to negotiating an FRA. Although most Channels 1-120 licensees did not require planning funding, the vast majority of NPSPAC licensees have sought such funding and the majority of NPSPAC licensees have negotiated PFAs. This additional step has significantly extended the reconfiguration process for many NPSPAC licensees and on average has consumed approximately ten months – four months to negotiate the PFA and six months to complete the planning and reconfiguration cost estimate. Additionally, a majority of NPSPAC licensees did not execute PFAs and/or FRAs with Sprint Nextel during the allotted negotiation periods and entered mediation to complete the negotiation phase. In discussions with stakeholders regarding options for compressing this timeline without compromising planning objectives, it was generally agreed that some time savings could be achieved if vendors received earlier notification of agreement between parties and could mobilize their resources sooner. To that end, Sprint Nextel has agreed to notify a licensee's vendors once verbal agreement has been reached on a PFA or FRA, so that vendor mobilization can occur while the written agreement is under review by the licensee. This change could eliminate anywhere from 30 to 60 days from the planning and negotiation phase.

Progress continues to be made in the negotiation of FRAs for NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band licensees (Stage 2). During the second quarter of 2007, parties completed negotiations on 75 additional Stage 2 FRAs, raising the total completed to 301 FRAs, or 29 percent, for Stage 2 licensees with a total contract value of \$61.5 million. A large number of Stage 2 licensees in mediation entered into PFAs, accounting for more than 80 percent of the remaining active mediations that are not in verbal agreement.⁴ As of June 30, 2007, 356 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees, which had a total contract value of \$58.4 million, had been submitted to the TA. The TA has provided flexibility in the mediation process to complete planning so long as progress continues to be made. Mediation has proven to be an effective tool for getting parties

³ See Public Notice, "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends Negotiation Period between Sprint Nextel and Border Area Non-NPSPAC Licensees in Wave 4, Stage 1 of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 07-2878 (rel. June 29, 2007) ("*Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*").

⁴ Verbal agreement means that the parties had reached agreement on terms but had not yet executed their PFA or FRA.

focused and moving the process forward, and the majority of Public Safety licensees have successfully concluded an initial agreement with Sprint Nextel. Furthermore, as of June 30, 2007, out of all Stage 2 mediations, only 3.8 percent had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.⁵

Once planning has been completed, the TA strives to bring parties to agreement regarding an FRA within 30 calendar days. Meeting this goal is dependent on all parties being actively engaged in the process.

With regard to implementation for Stage 2 licensees, for Public Safety systems operating in the Expansion Band without NPSPAC frequencies, parties are negotiating fixed schedules for and proceeding with reconfiguration implementation. As of August 21, 2007, 50 licensees in the Expansion Band have completed reconfiguration of their frequencies, and an additional 67 licensees have an executed FRA and, to the extent they are not also NPSPAC licensees, are proceeding with reconfiguration.

Subscriber Equipment Deployment

A key component of furthering rebanding progress is the reconfiguration of subscriber equipment. In March 2007, the TA made available to licensees the Subscriber Equipment Deployment (“SED”) initiative, an option to obtain replacement subscriber equipment and related software and services in advance of completing all cost negotiations. SED is intended to enable licensees to proceed with subscriber equipment-related activities in parallel with completion of negotiations for infrastructure costs and timing. As of August 28, 2007, ten licensees had submitted SED Requests covering more than 33,000 subscriber units and eight subscriber equipment-only FRAs had been submitted to the TA. Given the large number of licensees that are still in the planning phase, the TA believes this figure should be much higher and that many licensees are not availing themselves of the opportunity to expedite the reconfiguration of their subscriber equipment.

TA Initiated Implementation Planning Sessions

NPSPAC reconfiguration, often with complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region, will require more time and coordination than was needed for Channels 1-120 reconfiguration. Further, as was reported last quarter, Sprint Nextel ceased providing implementation dates in NPSPAC FRAs and requested additional joint planning with licensees and vendors at a regional level, so that licensee timeframes and interdependencies could be understood in aggregate and balanced against Sprint Nextel’s need to maintain its network. In response to these requirements, the TA has initiated Implementation Planning Sessions to identify and finalize reconfiguration schedules among NPSPAC licensees in a given region of the country. As groups of licensees within a geographical area and Sprint Nextel continue to make progress in completing planning activities and contractual negotiations,

⁵ As of June 30, 2007, out of all Stage 1 and 2 mediations, only 3.7 percent had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

these sessions will form a framework for the parties to finalize coordination amongst interdependent parties and execute their reconfiguration onto new frequencies.

The Implementation Planning Sessions recently conducted by the TA have provided all parties with a more concrete view of (1) the schedule challenges facing NPSPAC licensees relative to the June 26, 2008 program completion date established by the FCC, and (2) what possibly may be done to meet that date. The TA conducted its first Implementation Planning Sessions for NPSPAC licensees in Colorado (42,000 units statewide) in May 2007, in Utah (16,000 units statewide) in June 2007. In July and August 2007, the TA also conducted Implementation Planning Sessions in Kansas, the Sacramento and Fresno areas of Northern California (Region 6), and Kentucky. The sessions focused on the reconfiguration challenges unique to their systems, their interoperability dependencies, and how those factors affected their overall schedule, particularly in relationship to the June 26, 2008 program completion date. The licensees in attendance were in different stages of reconfiguration – some with completed FRAs and others still in planning and in mediation – in order to ensure all scheduling factors were considered. The licensees' vendors and Sprint Nextel also attended. During the meetings, the participants developed implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with physical reconfiguration activities. The key building blocks for developing these schedules were the licensees' individual reconfiguration timelines, including the schedule for Sprint Nextel to clear replacement frequencies. These sessions provided insight into risks and dependencies such as hunting season, weather, and other major blackout days unique to those specific regions. While much of the planned reconfiguration can be addressed directly between the licensee and Sprint Nextel, having a comprehensive session has proven useful in providing a transparent, coordinated forum for all parties. The sessions are particularly beneficial because they allow diverse parties to understand which licensees have critical interrelationships with others, to coordinate key dates and deliverables at one time, and to provide firm deadlines for frequencies to be cleared.

A key observation that emerged from these sessions is that, despite the many challenges, NPSPAC reconfiguration is progressing in the wake of the program's initial success in reconfiguring Channels 1-120. Licensees that have been actively engaged throughout the process, such as those in Colorado and Utah among others, are moving forward with their implementation activities and are helping to define an implementation framework that can serve as a model for other NPSPAC licensees. The State of Colorado, for example, has already retuned or replaced over 26,000 subscriber units.

Over the next few months, the TA will be conducting Implementation Planning Sessions for the following licensee groups and/or regions: Indiana, Minnesota, Illinois (Region 13), New York – Metro, Oklahoma, Chicago – Metro (Region 54), Nebraska, Oregon, and New England (Region 19). In some cases, multiple sessions may be held within a region to cover all licensees, or in other cases, sessions may be conducted via conference call or the web. Other groups will be scheduled as FRAs are reached and appropriate planning activities draw to a close. However, licensees are encouraged to commence implementation activities that are not dependent on having cleared replacement frequencies after their FRAs are executed and should not wait until an Implementation Planning Session before beginning implementation activities. In fact, many licensees can and should immediately begin subscriber equipment deployment activities under

the SED program prior to execution of an FRA. When licensees are ready to begin implementation, they should indicate their readiness and contact Sprint Nextel to discuss the schedule for Sprint Nextel to clear their replacement frequencies.

A number of NPSPAC licensees with FRAs, or whose FRA negotiations are nearing completion, are also able to move forward with scheduling their reconfigurations individually and directly with Sprint Nextel. Typically, these are licensees in regions with relatively few licensees or those without complex interoperability environments. Licensees finalizing their individual schedules with Sprint Nextel to gain access to cleared 851-854 MHz channels, either directly or through Implementation Planning Sessions, will be the key to progress in the second half of 2007.

In closing, while it is clear that the NPSPAC stage of rebanding is much more complex and time-consuming than was anticipated, it is also clear that steady, measurable progress is being made. The TA currently believes, based on progress made to date, that a meaningful number of NPSPAC licensees can implement their reconfigurations in 2007. As such, all parties should continue to sustain their good faith efforts in resolving issues, addressing concerns, and moving forward with the reconfiguration process.

I. RECONFIGURATION PROGRESS

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation by wave and stage as of the quarter ended June 30, 2007, discusses issues identified, and describes specific actions the TA has taken to address issues identified to date.

A. Overview of Status Against Schedule

The 800 MHz band reconfiguration program commenced on June 27, 2005, with the voluntary negotiation period for licensees in Wave 1, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120). Stage 1 licensees are primarily small commercial, conventional systems that must be cleared from Channels 1-120 before Public Safety systems operating on NPSPAC channels (Stage 2) can be reconfigured. As of June 30, 2007, the voluntary and mandatory negotiation periods, as described in the TA's Regional Prioritization Plan ("RPP"), had concluded for all waves and stages, except Wave 4, Stage 2.

1. Summary of Status

As further described below, significant progress has been made to date in the negotiation, and approval of FRAs for Stage 1 as well as the clearing of these channels. As of June 30, 2007, 89 percent of FRAs for Channels 1-120 frequencies have been submitted to the TA. The contract value of these FRAs totals \$56.1 million. The total number of FRAs approved by the TA represents 99 percent of anticipated FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 1; 99 percent of Wave 2, Stage 1; and 91 percent of Wave 3, Stage 1. In addition, as of June 30, 2007, approximately 59 percent (up from 56 percent as of March 31, 2007) of all site-specific (non-Economic Area ("EA")) Channels 1-120 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, including 89 percent of Wave 1 and 95 percent of Wave 2 call signs.

For Stage 2 (NPSPAC and most Public Safety Expansion Band channels), systems and FRAs generally are larger and more complex than those of Channels 1-120 licensees. As further described below, as of June 30, 2007, 29 percent of FRAs for NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band licensees have been submitted to the TA. The contract value of these FRAs totals \$61.5 million. In addition, 356 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees were submitted to the TA as of June 30, 2007. The contract value of these PFAs totals \$58.4 million. The mediation period for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees began on May 1, 2007 and ended on June 12, 2007. The mandatory negotiation period for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees began on May 1, 2007 and ended on July 31, 2007.

2. Recent Developments Regarding Schedule

On June 29, 2007, the FCC issued a Public Notice modifying the schedule for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees that hold call signs with locations in the international border areas defined by

the FCC.⁶ The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation period until September 30, 2007 and postponed the start of the mediation period until October 1, 2007 for Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees. During the extended negotiation period, Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees are not required to engage in planning or negotiation prior to the receipt from the TA of proposed replacement frequencies, although they may elect to engage in such activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs. If planning funding is required, licensees will need to submit a Request for Planning Funding (“RFPF”) to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.

On July 31, 2007, the FCC issued a Public Notice modifying the schedule for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees that hold call signs with locations in the international border areas defined by the FCC.⁷ The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation period until October 29, 2007 and postponed the start of the mediation period until October 30, 2007 for Wave 4, Stage 2 border area licensees.

B. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)

The following sections provide a summary of progress during the second quarter of 2007 of negotiations for Stage 1 reconfiguration. There has been significant progress in the negotiations of Stage 1 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of June 30, 2007, there are 1,006 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees in all waves.⁸ As of June 30, 2007, 891 FRAs (89 percent) have been submitted to the TA and 890 FRAs have been approved by the TA. The total number of FRAs approved by the TA represents 99 percent of Wave 1, Stage 1; 99 percent of Wave 2, Stage 1; 91 percent of Wave 3, Stage 1; and 62 percent of Wave 4, Stage 1.

⁶ See Public Notice, “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends Negotiation Period between Sprint Nextel and Border Area Non-NPSPAC Licensees in Wave 4, Stage 1 of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration,” WT Docket No. 02-55, 22 FCC Rcd 11658 (rel. June 29, 2007) (“*Wave 4, Stage 1 Extension Public Notice*”). Locations within 110 km (68.4 miles) of the U.S./Mexico border or within 140 km (87 miles) of the U.S./Canada border are within the FCC-defined border area.

⁷ See Public Notice, “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Extension of Negotiation Period between Sprint Nextel and Border Area NPSPAC Licensees in Wave 4, Stage 2 of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration,” WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 07-3468 (rel. July 31, 2007) (“*Wave 4, Stage 2 Extension Public Notice*”).

⁸ The estimate of total FRAs does not include FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas where revised border area band plans are still being developed.

**Table 1: Status of FRA Negotiations for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) Licensees
as of June 30, 2007**

Wave	By Number of FRAs					By Number of Call Signs				
	Total	Submitted To TA		Approved By TA		Total	Submitted In TA		Approved By TA	
		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Wave 1	353	349	99%	349	99%	799	762	95%	762	95%
Wave 2	206	205	99%	205	99%	478	457	96%	457	96%
Wave 3	254	232	91%	231	91%	568	473	83%	473	83%
Wave 4	167	103	62%	103	62%	883	175	20%	175	20%
Wave TBD*	26	2	8%	2	8%	0	0	0%	0	0%
Total	1006	891	89%	890	88%	2728	1867	68%	1867	68%


*Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain Economic Area (“EA”) licensees are included in this “Wave TBD” category. The TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses.

As shown in Map 1, as of June 30, 2007, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Stage 1 call signs in 12 of the 15 NPSPAC regions in Wave 1, Stage 1;⁹ 15 of the 19 NPSPAC regions in Wave 2, Stage 1; three of the nine NPSPAC regions in Wave 3, Stage 1; and two of the 13 regions in Wave 4, Stage 1.¹⁰ The map shows the percentage of FRAs under contract as of the end of the second quarter 2007 in comparison to the percentage under contract at the end of the first quarter 2007 (displayed as second quarter percentage/first quarter percentage). As Map 1 shows, there was progress during the second quarter in the following NPSPAC regions – Arizona, California – South, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Maryland – Northern Virginia, Mississippi, North Carolina, New Mexico, New York – Albany, Ohio, South Carolina, and Texas – San Antonio.

⁹ Call sign WPFV680 (1-120 channels) was reinstated by the FCC in Hawaii on February 27, 2007. This call sign had been deleted from the ULS database of active call signs prior to the start of reconfiguration. As a result, as of the quarter ending March 31, 2007, the percentage of call signs in Hawaii under contract and cleared (Map 2) reverted to less than 100 percent. Additionally, as of the second quarter, certain STAs and recent grants are being included in the counts of call signs requiring reconfiguration, which has affected the percentages in Florida as well.

¹⁰ In Wave 1, all site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs not under FRA are in mediation or are the subject of Recommended Resolutions that are pending before the FCC, with the exception of NPSPAC Region 19 (New England) which also has call signs pending availability of revised border area frequency plans. In Wave 2, all site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs not under FRA in the four Wave 2 NPSPAC Regions with less than 100 percent under FRA are pending availability of revised border area frequency plans. In Wave 3, all site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs not under FRA are in mediation, are the subject of Recommended Resolutions that are pending before the FCC, or had Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests granted and were deferred to Wave 4.

Map 1: Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs under a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement by NPSPAC Region as of June 30, 2007 (as compared to percentage as of March 31, 2007)¹¹



Appendices 1 and 2 provide information in summary form about reconfiguration status for Channels 1-120 licensees as of June 30, 2007.

1. Wave 1, Stage 1

As shown in Table 1, as of June 30, 2007, approximately 99 percent of the 353 FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of June 30, 2007, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in 12 of the 15 NPSPAC regions in Wave 1, Stage 1. As of June 30, 2007, the remaining FRAs to be negotiated in Wave 1, Stage 1 were all in mediation or the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC.

¹¹ Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available and reconfiguration can start in those areas.

The ADR, or mediation, period for Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees began on December 27, 2005. On that date, the TA opened mediation dockets (or “cases”) for 172 incumbent licensees, including 63 Public Safety licensees, that had not filed with the TA an FRA governing the reconfiguration of their call signs.¹² In addition to the 172 mediation dockets opened for Channels 1-120 licensees, the TA opened four mediation dockets for Wave 1, Stage 1 EA licensees that had been given the option to file new elections or modifications to previous elections to relocate to or remain in the ESMR Band by the FCC’s October 5, 2005 *Memorandum Opinion and Order*.

As of June 30, 2007, of the 176 mediation dockets opened, 171 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; one resulted in a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA; and three mediations, which had previously been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review were either pending, being appealed, or in the process of being resolved by the parties. In addition, the TA had received and granted a total of 11 requests for mediation arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Wave 1, Stage 1 licensees. Nine of these disputes were resolved by June 30, 2007.

2. Wave 2, Stage 1

As shown in Table 1, as of June 30, 2007, approximately 99 percent of the 206 FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 1 have been negotiated. As of June 30, 2007, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in 15 of the 19 NPSPAC regions in Wave 2, Stage 1. The remaining FRA to be negotiated in Wave 2, Stage 1 is in mediation and it involves Southeast ESMR Band frequencies only, and will not delay any NPSPAC reconfiguration.

The mediation period for Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees began on April 3, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA granted 14 requests for mediation involving Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees during the mandatory negotiation period. On April 3, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 75 mediation dockets, in addition to the 14 that had been previously opened, for a total of 89 Wave 2, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 89 mediation dockets, 23 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of June 30, 2007, 88 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses, and one resulted in the negotiation of a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA. In addition, the TA had received and granted four requests for mediation arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Wave 2, Stage 1 licensees, three of which have been resolved and one of which was forwarded to the FCC for *de novo* review.

¹² Wave 1, Stage 1 licenses affected by the border areas did not enter into mediation and were deferred pending the availability of revised border area frequency plans.

3. Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR Band)

Wave 3, Stage 1 has a disproportionate number of transactions given the smaller number of NPSPAC regions assigned to this wave compared to Waves 1 or 2. Wave 3 includes the Southeastern United States, which has an expanded ESMR Band to accommodate Southern Company's ESMR network that requires additional licensees to be relocated out of 813.5-817 MHz/858.5-862 MHz as part of Stage 1.¹³ This expanded range includes more Public Safety licensees than in prior waves that covered only 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz, which is more heavily licensed with commercial entities. However, the number of licensees in Wave 3, Stage 1 was reduced in June 2006 because numerous licensees submitted requests to move to a different wave or stage. Licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2. Licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation moved to Wave 4, Stage 1.

As shown in Table 1, as of June 30, 2007, the TA received 232 FRAs and approved 231 FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 plus the Southeast ESMR Band) licensees out of an expected total of 254 FRAs needed to clear Channels 1-120 and the expanded ESMR Band in the Southeastern United States. Thus, 91 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 1 have been approved by the TA. As of June 30, 2007, the TA has reviewed and approved FRAs for 100 percent of the site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call signs in three of the nine NPSPAC regions in Wave 3, Stage 1.

The Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation period began on July 3, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA granted 29 requests for early mediation involving Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees. On July 3, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 121 mediation dockets, in addition to the 29 that had been previously opened, for a total of 150 Wave 3, Stage 1 mediation dockets. Of these 150 mediation dockets, 93 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of June 30, 2007, 135 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses; 14 resulted in the negotiation of PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs. In addition, the TA granted one request for mediation arising out of the implementation of an FRA involving a Wave 3, Stage 1 licensee, which has been resolved.

¹³ Given that many of the Public Safety licensees in the ESMR Band may also be NPSPAC channels licensees, the TA in the RPP provided flexibility in negotiating the timing of reconfiguration implementation of ESMR channels (*see* RPP at 33-34). In addition, there is no Guard Band in the Southeastern United States; however, there is an Expansion Band (812.5-813.5 MHz/857.5-858.5 MHz, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 813-813.5 MHz/858-858.5 MHz) from which Public Safety licensees will be relocated unless they elect to stay.

4. Wave 4, Stage 1

There are 13 NPSPAC regions in Wave 4, Stage 1. Wave 4, Stage 1 now also includes licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted. As of June 30, 2007, the TA received 103 FRAs and approved 103 FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees out of an expected total of 167 FRAs. Thus, 62 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees have been approved.

Prior to the formal start of the mediation period on January 3, 2007, the TA granted eight requests for early mediation involving Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees. On January 3, 2007, the TA opened 64 mediation dockets, in addition to the eight that had been previously opened, for a total of 72 Wave 4, Stage 1 mediation dockets involving licensees not affected by the international border areas with Mexico and Canada.¹⁴ Of these 72 mediation dockets, 36 involved Public Safety licensees.

As of June 30, 2007, 60 mediation dockets had been resolved through the negotiation of FRAs or the cancellation or assignment of licenses and 11 resulted in the negotiation of PFAs with FRAs still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFAs. The parties have reached agreement, but have not yet executed, a PFA in the remaining mediation. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

Licensees with systems located in or affected by the international border areas with Mexico and Canada, as defined by the FCC, have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As noted in Section I.A. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on June 29, 2007, modifying the schedule for Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees still awaiting frequency proposals. The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation period until September 30, 2007 and postponed the start of the mediation period until October 1, 2007 for such licensees. There are approximately 80 licensees with systems entirely within an FCC-defined border area; 48 licensees with systems both inside and adjacent to an FCC-defined border area; and 73 licensees with systems adjacent to FCC-defined border areas and close enough to be affected; for a total of 201 licensees affected by the extension. Once the band plans are established, frequency planning is completed, and negotiations begin in earnest, it is expected there will be some consolidation of the 201 licensees into a smaller number of FRAs. In addition, some portion of the 167 anticipated FRAs in Wave 4, Stage 1 noted above are included in the 201 licensees delayed because further analysis indicated they were affected by the border and replacement frequencies cannot yet be determined. This development will have an impact on the Wave 4 reconfiguration schedule. The development of border area band plans and frequency planning thus remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the reconfiguration program.

During the extended negotiation period, licensees affected by the border areas are not required to engage in planning or negotiation prior to the receipt of proposed replacement

¹⁴ The remaining deals out of the 167 expected FRAs are for licensees affected by the international border areas.

frequencies from the TA. Licensees may elect to engage in such activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs. If planning funding is required, licensees will need to submit an RFPF to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.

For Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees who did not receive Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”) by August 1, 2006, RFPF submissions (if necessary) will be due 45 days from the date of an FPR mailing to the licensee.¹⁵ The RFPF deadline will be noted in the FPR cover letter. In general, FPRs were sent only to licensees far enough away from the border so as not to be affected by revised border area frequency plans. Consistent with the FCC’s June 29, 2007 Public Notice, licensees in the FCC-defined border areas, and in areas adjacent to the border areas, will not be receiving replacement frequency proposals until revised border area band plans are available.

C. Overview of Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band)

The following sections provide a summary of progress during the second quarter of 2007 of negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) reconfiguration. As planning, negotiations, and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 2 reconfigurations are generally more complex than Stage 1 reconfigurations, the TA strongly encourages all parties to engage in planning and negotiation as early as possible.

As further described below, progress has been made to date in the negotiation and approval of FRAs for NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band licensees (Stage 2). As shown in the table below, as of June 30, 2007, there are 1,035 FRAs anticipated for Stage 2 licensees in all waves. As of June 30, 2007, 301 FRAs (29 percent) for NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band licensees have been submitted to the TA. The contract value of these FRAs totals \$61.5 million. In addition, 356 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees were submitted to the TA as of June 30, 2007. The contract value of these PFAs totals \$58.4 million. Unlike Stage 1, in which approximately half of all licensees in each wave entered mediation, approximately 89 percent of Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 91 percent of Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, and 98 percent of Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees entered mediation.

¹⁵ See Press Release, “Wave 4, Stage 1 – RFPF Deadline Information” (rel. Aug. 16, 2006), available at http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/08_16_06.asp.

Table 2: Status of FRA Negotiations for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) Licensees as of June 30, 2007¹⁶

Wave	By number of Stage 2 FRAs					By number of Call Signs				
	Total	Submitted to TA		Approved by TA		Total	Submitted to TA		Approved by TA	
		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%
Wave 1	343	184	54%	174	51%	2173	535	25%	405	19%
Wave 2	227	87	38%	84	37%	842	122	14%	117	14%
Wave 3	232	20	9%	17	7%	1066	44	4%	40	4%
Wave 4	200	1	1%	1	1%	1797	11	1%	11	1%
Wave TBD*	33	9	27%	9	27%	0	0	0%	0	0%
Total	1035	301	29%	285	28%	5878	712	12%	573	10%

*Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 3 provides information in summary form about the status of negotiations for NPSPAC licensees as of June 30, 2007.

1. Wave 1, Stage 2

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves, with 343 FRAs expected to be completed between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies.¹⁷ These systems and FRAs generally are larger and more complex than those of Stage 1 licensees. As of June 30, 2007, the TA received 184 FRAs and approved 174 FRAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, with the remaining ten FRAs still under review. In addition, the TA received 136 PFAs and approved 133 PFAs for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees as of June 30, 2007.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation period began on November 1, 2006. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA granted 56 requests for early mediation involving Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees. On November 1, 2006, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 272 mediation dockets, in addition to the 56 that had been previously opened, for a total of 328 Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation dockets.

¹⁶ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of expected FRAs in column 2. The figures have not been verified by the TA. The total number of expected FRAs can change based on how Sprint Nextel structures various agreements with licensees. For Wave 3, Stage 2, the number of expected FRAs will increase as additional FRAs are reached with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 and those deals are added to the count.

¹⁷ This total also includes reconfiguration of Public Safety Expansion Band licensees. Any changes to estimated deal numbers from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated).

As of June 30, 2007, 171 mediation dockets had been resolved. The parties in 119 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; four of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in seven mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs or FRAs. Sixteen mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review, and six were in the process of negotiating PFAs or FRAs following *de novo* review by the FCC.

2. Wave 2, Stage 2

As of June 30, 2007, the TA received 87 FRAs and approved 84 FRAs for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, with the remaining three FRAs still under review. In addition, the TA received 92 PFAs and approved 92 PFAs for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees as of June 30, 2007.

The Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation period began on February 1, 2007. Prior to the formal start of the mediation period, the TA granted three requests for early mediation involving Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees. On February 1, 2007, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 224 mediation dockets, in addition to the three that had been previously opened, for a total of 227 Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation dockets.

As of June 30, 2007, 83 mediation dockets had been resolved. The parties in 100 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning. In addition, the parties in 38 mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs or FRAs. Two mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

3. Wave 3, Stage 2

The three-month mandatory negotiation period for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees ended on April 30, 2007. Wave 3, Stage 2 also includes certain licensees and call signs with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the request of the licensee. As of June 30, 2007, the TA received 20 FRAs and approved 17 FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, with the remaining three FRAs still under review. In addition, the TA received 118 PFAs and approved 115 PFAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees as of June 30, 2007.

As it has following the conclusion of each mandatory mediation wave, the TA interviewed TA Mediators, Sprint Nextel, incumbent licensees, licensee representatives, and vendors to solicit their views regarding Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation and to invite suggestions as to how the mediation process could be improved. As a consequence of these consultations, the TA reviewed and revised its training materials for TA Mediators to focus on the potential issues faced in the next wave of mediations. For example, with respect to Wave 3, Stage 2, the TA focused its mediation training on Southeast ESMR Band frequencies, planning funding, interoperability, the need to accommodate the large number of licensees expected to enter mediation, and the fact that many of these licensees were expected to either be seeking planning funding or to have only recently negotiated PFAs. As it has done prior to other mediation periods, the TA conducted additional training for TA Mediators.

On May 1, 2007, the formal start of the mediation period, the TA opened 260 mediation dockets involving Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees.¹⁸ As of June 30, 2007, 39 mediation dockets had been resolved. The parties in 132 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; four had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Thus, licensees in 171 mediations had entered into either a PFA or FRA with Sprint Nextel. In addition, the parties in 47 mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs or FRAs. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

4. Wave 4, Stage 2

The three-month voluntary negotiation period for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees ended on April 30, 2007. The three-month mandatory negotiation period for these licensees began on May 1, 2007 and ended on July 31, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, the TA received and approved one FRA for a Wave 4, Stage 2 licensee. In addition, the TA received and approved ten PFAs for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees as of June 30, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, the TA had received and granted one request for mediation involving a Wave 4, Stage 2 licensee, which has been resolved. Approximately 54 licensees entered mediation on August 1, 2007.

Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada, as defined by the FCC, have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area frequency plans. As noted in Section I.A. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on July 31, 2007, modifying the schedule for Wave 4, Stage 2 border area licensees still awaiting frequency proposals.¹⁹ The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation period until October 29, 2007 and postponed the start of the mediation period until October 30, 2007 for such licensees.

D. Publication of Aggregated Median Cost Data (FRAs)

On January 8, 2007, the FCC released an Order instructing the TA to make available a set of cost metrics that identify statistical measures of licensee reconfiguration implementation costs and rates, based on system size.²⁰ On June 25, 2007 the TA published, on its website, updated aggregated information regarding median costs for the key common elements of approved Public Safety FRAs. These metrics were based on data taken from 444 approved FRAs for Public Safety licensees and include:

¹⁸ The difference between the number of mediation dockets and the number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 is largely due to certain deals with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 not being included in the number of expected FRAs. The number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 will increase as additional FRAs are reached with such licensees and those deals are added to the count.

¹⁹ Wave 4, Stage 2 Extension Public Notice.

²⁰ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Order, DA 07-27 (rel. Jan 8, 2007).

- Aggregate implementation costs for reconfiguration of 800 MHz Public Safety systems broken down by system size;
- Median reconfiguration costs and cost ranges by implementation cost category;
- Median incumbent licensee and vendor labor rates by implementation cost category; and
- Distributions of incumbent licensee and vendor costs across all implementation cost categories.

The TA expects this information to be beneficial to Public Safety licensees in the preparation of cost estimates for their FRAs and to expedite the negotiation of FRAs.

E. Planning Funding

Many licensees with small or simple systems are able to include planning costs (if any) in their FRA. However, as Stage 2 Public Safety licensees have entered the negotiation and mediation periods for their respective waves, the TA has observed a more significant need for advance planning funding because Public Safety licensees tend to manage larger and more complex systems.

1. Planning Funding Statistics

During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the TA forwarded 13 RFPFs to Sprint Nextel and the licensee for negotiation of a PFA.

Table 3: Number of RFPFs Reviewed by the TA and Forwarded to Sprint Nextel and the Licensee

Period	Number of RFPFs Forwarded to Sprint Nextel
Prior to February 2006*	41
February-March 2006	35
Q2 2006 Total	92
Q3 2006 Total	171
Q4 2006 Total	97
Q1 2007 Total	47
April 2007	7
May 2007	1
June 2007	5
Q2 2007 Total	13

*Prior to February 1, 2006, RFPFs were sent directly by licensees to Sprint Nextel.

As shown in the table below, as of June 30, 2007, Sprint Nextel submitted 414 negotiated PFAs to the TA for review, including 356 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees. Of the 414 PFAs received, the TA reviewed and approved 408 PFAs, including 350 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees.

Beginning February 1, 2006 and through the end of June 2007, the TA received 455 RFPFs from licensees across all waves that requested a total of approximately \$89.3 million in planning funding. As shown in the table below, as of June 30, 2007, the total contract value of

the 414 negotiated PFAs was \$66.6 million, of which the PFAs for Stage 2 licensees totaled \$58.4 million. The total contract value of the 408 PFAs approved by the TA was \$65.5 million. Another 82 RFPFs totaling \$15.1 million were in negotiation between the parties as of June 30, 2007.

Table 4: Number and Dollar Value of PFAs, as of June 30, 2007

	Wave	PFAs Submitted to TA		PFAs Approved by TA	
		#	Dollar Value	#	Dollar Value
Stage 1					
	Wave 1	17	\$2,779,879	17	\$2,779,879
	Wave 2	3	\$195,267	3	\$195,267
	Wave 3	31	\$3,665,967	31	\$3,665,967
	Wave 4	7	\$1,598,177	7	\$1,598,177
	Total	58	\$8,239,290	58	\$8,239,290
Stage 2					
	Wave 1	136	\$26,587,743	133	\$25,845,277
	Wave 2	92	\$11,051,068	92	\$11,051,068
	Wave 3	118	\$17,932,093	115	\$17,577,746
	Wave 4	10	\$2,826,682	10	\$2,826,682
	Total	356	\$58,397,586	350	\$57,300,773
Total					
	Wave 1	153	\$29,367,622	150	\$28,625,156
	Wave 2	95	\$11,246,335	95	\$11,246,335
	Wave 3	149	\$21,598,060	146	\$21,243,713
	Wave 4	17	\$4,424,859	17	\$4,424,859
	Total	414	\$66,636,876	408	\$65,540,063

2. Fast Track Update


The Fast Track Option for planning funding, which is designed to streamline negotiations and enable licensees to more quickly obtain advance funding and complete their planning, was first announced in May 2006. As intended, the Fast Track Option has benefited both the program and numerous licensees by streamlining negotiations and enabling licensees to more quickly obtain advance funding and complete their planning. Through June 30, 2007, 21 percent of RFPFs (67 of 313 total) submitted to the TA since June 15, 2006 were eligible for the Fast Track Option.

F. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress

Parties have made good progress in physically clearing Channels 1-120, which is a necessary prerequisite for Public Safety to begin its reconfiguration of NPSPAC channels. The TA generally measures the status of Stage 1 reconfiguration implementation progress in two ways: (1) the number and percentage of Stage 1 call signs that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees; and (2) clearing as a percentage of Stage 1 FRAs that Sprint Nextel has entered into with Channel 1-120 licensees.

As of June 30, 2007, approximately 59 percent (up from 56 percent as of March 31, 2007) of the Stage 1 site-specific (non-EA) call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, including 89 percent of Wave 1, 95 percent of Wave 2, and 54 percent of Wave 3 call signs. Map 2 below illustrates the percentage of Channels 1-120 call signs reported cleared in each NPSPAC region as of the end of the second quarter of 2007 in comparison to the percentage at the end of the first quarter of 2007 (displayed as second quarter percentage/first quarter percentage).

Map 2: Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs Reported Cleared, by NPSPAC Region as of June 30, 2007 (as compared to percentage as of March 31, 2007)²¹



As of June 30, 2007, Sprint Nextel is reporting that physical reconfiguration is complete for 81 percent of all Channels 1-120 FRAs (an increase from 77 percent as of March 31, 2007), including 96 percent for Wave 1, 98 percent for Wave 2, 80 percent for Wave 3, and 43 percent

²¹ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area frequency plans are available.

for Wave 4. Table 5a below illustrates the percentage of FRAs entered into by Sprint Nextel and Channels 1-120 licensees and provides a summary of FRA milestones statistics by wave.

**Table 5a: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of June 30, 2007
(milestones achieved by number of FRAs)²²**

Wave	1-120 FRAs**	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Reconfiguration		
							Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	353	349	349	99%	340	96%	245	243	69%
Wave 2	206	205	205	99%	202	98%	149	145	70%
Wave 3	254	232	231	91%	204	80%	114	112	44%
Wave 4	167	103	103	62%	72	43%	37	37	22%
Wave TBD*	26	2	2	8%	1	4%	0	0	0%
Total:	1006	891	890	88%	819	81%	545	537	53%

* Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain EA licensees are included in this “Wave TBD” category. The TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses.

** Does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in the FCC-defined border areas.

A summary of site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call sign milestone statistics by wave is presented in Table 5b below. The differences between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs largely reflect the size and complexity of the systems, primarily Public Safety, that remain in the mediation process. Simply put, the relatively few Stage 1 FRAs remaining in mediation involve systems with a greater number of call signs compared to those for which the parties have been able to reach agreement.²³ In addition, for Wave 4, the FRA statistics in Table 5a do not include all deals in border areas, while the call sign statistics in Table 5b do include call signs in border areas.

²² Sprint Nextel is the data source for columns 2 and 6. Total number of FRAs can change based on how Sprint Nextel structures various agreements with licensees.

²³ There are a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.


**Table 5b: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of June 30, 2007
(milestones achieved by number of call signs)**

Wave	Number of Channels 1-120 Call Signs*	# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported Clear by Licensee	% Reported Clear by Licensee	Reconfiguration		
							Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	799	762	762	95%	714	89%	436	432	54%
Wave 2	478	457	457	96%	454	95%	298	290	61%
Wave 3	568	473	473	83%	305	54%	131	129	23%
Wave 4	883	175	175	20%	123	14%	68	68	8%
Total:	2728	1867	1867	68%	1596	59%	933	919	34%

* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

Viewed from a geographic perspective, Map 3 below shows the progress of 800 MHz band reconfiguration in the key first step of clearing the Channels 1-120 to allow the ultimate relocation of the NPSPAC band. Tracking the progress against the Channels 1-120 locations as of September 2005, clear progress has been made in all areas of the country outside the areas adjacent to international borders.

**Map 3: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status,
by NPSPAC Region as of June 30, 2007²⁴**



Data from reconfigurations to date indicate that it is taking Channels 1-120 licensees an average of approximately 123 calendar days to get from approval by the TA of their FRA to reporting clearing of their Channels 1-120 frequencies.²⁵ Given the number of regions with 100 percent of FRAs approved by the TA (32 regions as of June 30, 2007) and the number of regions with more than 90 percent of FRAs approved (seven regions as of June 30, 2007), the TA anticipates that Channels 1-120 physical clearing in Waves 1, 2, and 3 will be largely complete

²⁴ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area frequency plans are available.

²⁵ During that time period, FCC applications are being filed and granted to add replacement channels to the licenses of the incumbent licensee, Sprint Nextel is clearing the replacement channels to which the licensee is moving, and the licensee is implementing its reconfiguration plan in accordance with its FRA.


in time for NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations to proceed when ready. There is every reason to believe that similar progress will be made in Wave 4 as FRAs are completed.

While there has been significant progress in negotiating FRAs, clearing Channels 1-120, and completing reconfiguration implementation, the TA has observed significant lags between completing physical retuning and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing reconfiguration completion certifications (“Completion Certifications”) through Sprint Nextel and the TA. As of June 30, 2007, the TA verified Completion Certifications for a total of 537 FRAs for Stage 1 licensees across all waves (53 percent), including 243 Wave 1 FRAs (69 percent), 145 Wave 2 FRAs (70 percent), 112 Wave 3 FRAs (44 percent), and 37 Wave 4 FRAs (22 percent). As discussed further in Section II.E. of this report, as of June 30, 2007, the average elapsed time between the completion of physical retuning and the completion the closing certification process for Channels 1-120 FRAs across all waves was approximately 4 months.

While a number of NPSPAC FRAs have been approved by the TA, reconfiguration implementation is still getting underway for NPSPAC licensees. Map 4 graphically depicts a geographic perspective of NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of June 30, 2007, by licensed NPSPAC locations.²⁶

²⁶ Locations on STA call signs are only shown if they are included in an approved FRA.

**Map 4: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status,
by NPSPAC Region as of June 30, 2007²⁷**



G. FCC Orders

The FCC adopted several orders during the second quarter of 2007. On May 18, 2007, the FCC released a Memorandum Opinion and Order that addressed the standard for determining the acceptability of costs that Sprint Nextel is required to pay in connection with the 800 MHz band reconfiguration process.²⁸ On May 30, 2007, the FCC released a Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, which addressed various petitions for reconsideration and clarification of the FCC's *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, previously unaddressed portions of a petition for reconsideration of the *Report and Order*, and a petition for partial waiver of the rebanding rules,

²⁷ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area frequency plans are available.

²⁸ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 9818 (2007).

as well as several petitions regarding clearing of the 1.9 GHz Broadcast Auxiliary Services (“BAS”) band.²⁹ In this Order, the FCC directed the TA to propose an alternative band plan and negotiation timetable for Puerto Rico, which will be submitted by October 19, 2007.

H. Elections

1. Economic Area Elections

The TA received 23 EA Election filings in response to its January 11, 2006 Press Release announcing the 20-day filing window for EA licensees to file new elections or modifications to previous elections to relocate to or remain in the ESMR Band.³⁰ During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the TA continued to review these filings and issue frequency proposals for EA licensees.

As of June 30, 2007, the TA has received and approved five FRAs that have included EA licenses in Waves 1, 2, and 3. One EA licensee in Wave 1 and one EA licensee in Wave 3 – including EA licenses being relocated from Channels 1-120 – remain in mediation.

2. Expansion Band Elections

Through June 30, 2007, the TA received 214 Expansion Band Election filings in response to its June 28, 2005 Press Release announcing that incumbent Public Safety licensees could elect to remain in the Expansion Band.³¹ The initial deadlines for licensees in all waves to submit Expansion Band Election filings have passed. Appendix 4 contains a list of entities filing Expansion Band Elections as of June 30, 2007.

²⁹ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007); as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. July 26, 2007).

³⁰ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC’s *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 11, 2006) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2006/01_11_06.asp.

³¹ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC’s *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 30, 2005) (attaching Press Release announcing election deadline); *see also* http://www.800TA.org/content/news/2005/06_28_05.asp.

II. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes key reconfiguration data for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA's analysis of the current population of call signs per wave. The primary source of this data is the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, with geographical augmentation by the TA to determine NPSPAC region and other reconfiguration-specific information. This data defines the population of licenses that need to be reconfigured, and is updated to reflect changes made to the ULS database.³²

Table 6: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave³³

Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC	Southeast ESMR Band	Total
	Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	799	337	1836	0	2972
Wave 2	478	176	686	7	1327
Wave 3 ³⁴	568	210	856	244	1878
Wave 4	883	331	1466	0	2680
TOTAL	2728	1054	4824	251	8857

Assumptions

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be reconfigured. First, for spectrum planning purposes, unless notified otherwise, the TA has assumed that all Public Safety licensees in the Expansion Band would relocate. The number of call signs to be reconfigured would decrease to the extent that the TA receives elections from

³² The table includes site-specific (non-EA) call signs with primary fixed locations above 851 MHz, and – as of the second quarter of 2007 – all NPSPAC call signs, including STAs and licenses without fixed locations (*e.g.*, mobile-only authorizations). It does not include Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC call signs. There are a number of ancillary call signs licensed in the 806-821 MHz (non-NPSPAC) range that are not included in the counts but will, however, be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts. *See* Appendix 5 for more detailed data.

³³ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

³⁴ By June 30, 2006, the TA had received and approved requests to defer 38 Channels 1-120 call signs to Wave 4 from licensees in the Hurricane Katrina affected region. These call signs are still counted in the Wave 3 data.

Public Safety incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure.³⁵ Through June 30, 2007, the TA has received 214 Expansion Band Election filings from Public Safety licensees to stay in the Expansion Band.³⁶ Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant, demonstration, and temporary) are not generally being reconfigured in bands other than the NPSPAC channels.³⁷ Third, licenses under contract for voluntary reconfiguration agreements for which Sprint Nextel will not be seeking credit are not included in the totals. Fourth, the call sign figures in this report include only active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA; it will also be increased for new call signs granted from pending applications filed prior to the commencement of an application freeze related to reconfiguration. Fifth, the data includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico. In these areas the calls signs are defined based on the standard U.S. band plan; the data may change once revised border area frequency plans are available. Finally, the TA and Sprint Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

B. Frequency Proposals

Additional Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”) were prepared and mailed in the second quarter of 2007 for Wave 4, Stage 2 NPSPAC call signs granted as of December 31, 2006; however, the bulk of FPRs for call signs not affected by international border areas for all Waves and Stages were completed in the first quarter of 2007. Additional FPRs were mailed as several frequency issues due to recent grants or modifications in Wave 3, Stage 2 were also resolved. Because of the international border band plans still under negotiation with Canada and Mexico, the FPRs mailed were generally for the systems in Wave 4 NPSPAC regions located far from the FCC-defined border areas. As additional call signs are granted for pending applications, for STAs at the request of licensees, or because of additional analysis of border area clearing options, FPRs will be sent in periodic batches.

As of June 30, 2007, the TA had analyzed and proposed replacement frequencies for 4,109 Wave 1, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 28,511 Wave 1 NPSPAC frequencies; 2,050 Wave 2, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 11,519 Wave 2 NPSPAC frequencies; 3,867 Wave 3, Stage 1 and Expansion Band frequencies and 18,525 Wave 3 NPSPAC frequencies; and 906 Wave 4, Stage 1 frequencies and 1,092 Wave 4 NPSPAC

³⁵ A list of entities that submitted Expansion Band Election filings through June 30, 2007 appears in Appendix 4.

³⁶ The TA has granted license requests for rescission of twelve of these elections.

³⁷ On December 20, 2006, the FCC issued guidance regarding the treatment of Special Temporary Authority (STA) licenses within the reconfiguration process. The TA is continually assessing which licensees and call signs are affected by this guidance and updates call sign, FPR, and related data as necessary as it is determined which STA call signs are affected by reconfiguration. The data is most likely to change for NPSPAC call signs, where significant numbers of STAs are being filed for and granted.

frequencies. During this quarter, a total of 308 additional Channels 1-120 and Expansion Band frequencies were analyzed and replacement frequencies were proposed.

The TA has sent 1,106 FPRs for Public Safety Expansion Band call signs in Waves 1-4. Although Public Safety licensees may elect to remain on their current channels, for planning purposes, new frequency proposals were prepared for all relevant call signs.³⁸ Most of these Expansion Band frequencies will be reconfigured within the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels once Channels 1-120 have been cleared.

For each Wave, there were FPRs for certain call signs that were not generated or have been delayed. The primary reasons for this are: (1) the call sign is licensed in the FCC-defined border area; (2) the call sign is adjacent to these border areas and frequency planning must be done in conjunction with the yet-to-be determined specialized border area frequency plans; or (3) the licensee negotiated an FRA ahead of its wave and the reconfiguration process is already underway.

Delays in sending certain individual FPRs have not materially impacted the progress of reconfiguration. Generally, the delay in an FPR is indicative of a larger issue that needs to be resolved. Once that issue is resolved, the FPR can be sent and the licensee can move on quickly with the process.

C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Channels 1-120 FRAs submitted to the TA by Sprint Nextel.

**Table 7: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days) for Approval of Stage 1
(Channels 1-120) FRAs**

Wave	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
	Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements					
Wave 1	288	48	13	0	0	349
Wave 2	168	34	2	1	0	205
Wave 3	212	15	4	0	0	231
Wave 4	99	3	1	0	0	103
Wave TBD*	2	0	0	0	0	2
Total, Waves 1-4	769	100	20	1**	0	890

* Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain EA licensees are included in this “Wave TBD” category.

** FRA required coordination with the FCC to ensure licensee’s requests were in compliance with the *Report and Order*.

³⁸ As of June 30, 2007, Public Safety licensees had filed elections not to reconfigure for 385 call signs.

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Channels 1-120 FRAs compared to service level targets, on a percentage basis.

Table 8: TA Stage 1 FRA Review Performance vs. Service Level Targets

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
Service Levels	80%	95%	100%
TA's Performance	86%	98%	100%

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Channels 1-120 (on a per wave, per region basis) can be found in Appendix 6.

As of June 30, 2007, the TA approved 285 Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) FRAs, of which the TA processed 268 FRAs within 5 days or less. The TA anticipates that relative to Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) FRAs, Stage 2 FRAs will generally include more complex reconfiguration and associated cost estimates.

The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Stage 2 FRAs submitted to the TA by Sprint Nextel.

Table 9: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days) for Approval of Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) FRAs

Wave	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements						
Wave 1	163	10	1	0	0	174
Wave 2	81	2	1	0	0	84
Wave 3	16	1	0	0	0	17
Wave 4	1	0	0	0	0	1
Wave TBD*	7	2	0	0	0	9
Total, Waves 1-4	268	15	2	0	0	285

* Wave TBD (To Be Determined) – Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Stage 2 FRAs on a percentage basis.

Table 10: TA Stage 2 FRA Review Performance

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
TA's Performance	94%	99%	100%

Although the TA continues to meet its service level goals for reviewing FRAs, approximately 30 percent of all FRAs approved through June 30, 2007 required the issuance of a Request for Information (“RFI”), including the issuance of RFIs for 44 percent of the Stage 2 FRAs. In some cases, multiple RFIs were required to resolve deficient items, thereby delaying TA review. Examples of the types of missing information include:

- Lack of or insufficient details associated with the reconfiguration costs;
- Lack of or insufficient details associated with travel costs;
- Lack of or insufficient details associated with Project Management, Legal, or Engineering Consulting services and the associated costs identified in the FRA;
- Inconsistencies between payment terms identified in an FRA and Sprint Nextel’s supporting back office system; and
- Lack of, or insufficient details, associated with the timing of reconfiguring licensee systems or filings of applications with the FCC. This was the most significant cause for issuance of RFIs for Stage 2 licensees.

D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications

The TA has worked with FCC staff to define and implement data transfers to authenticate applications related to reconfiguration. The table below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications for site-specific call signs submitted to the FCC through June 30, 2007.

Table 11: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of June 30, 2007³⁹

Wave	Updated Population as of 6/29/2007	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC ⁴⁰	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
	Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	799	734	734	721	638
Wave 2	478	435	435	452	412
Wave 3	568	397	397	367	223
Wave 4	883	164	164	119	100
TOTAL	2728	1730	1730	1659	1373

³⁹ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

⁴⁰ Some FRAs stipulate that certain call signs are to be cancelled rather than reconfigured. Such cancellations are considered surrender applications for the purpose of this analysis. It is possible therefore that there will be more Surrender Applications than Reconfiguration Applications for one or more waves.

The procedure developed by the TA together with the FCC and Sprint Nextel for processing reconfiguration related applications continues to function well. For Private Mobile Radio Service (“PMRS”) applications that do not require public notice, the average time from filing to grant is approximately 7.8 calendar days. Applications for Specialized Mobile Radio (“SMR”) systems that may require a 30-day public notice are being granted, on average, in 46.2 calendar days.

Appendix 5 contains additional information regarding the TA’s FCC reconfiguration application milestones (on a per region basis) as of June 30, 2007.

E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing)

As of June 30, 2007, the TA had received Completion Certifications for 554 FRAs and four PFAs. Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified as complete 546 FRAs and four PFAs. The TA is in the process of reviewing the remaining eight FRA Completion Certifications. Appendix 8 contains a summary of deals that have closed as of June 30, 2007.

The number of FRA Completion Certifications⁴¹ submitted to the TA increased by 82 during the quarter ended June 30, 2007. The number of deals for which physical reconfiguration was completed but not yet closed decreased by 22 during the quarter ended June 30, 2007. Within this category, the number of deals in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process increased by 15 and the number of deals in the Closing Process decreased by 41, as of June 30, 2007. These were offset by an increase of four deals not included in the Actual Cost Reconciliation or the Closing Processes.

The average amount of time it takes deals to consummate the closing under a FRA once the physical reconfiguration is completed increased to 4.3 months, as compared to 4.0 months for the period ending March 31, 2007. In addition, there continues to be delays for those deals for which the physical reconfiguration was completed but not yet closed, as evidenced by an increase in the average elapsed time from completion of physical reconfiguration to June 30, 2007 of approximately 7.9 months, as compared to approximately 6.6 months for the period ended March 31, 2007.

As of June 30, 2007, the major area for delays was in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process where 28 percent, 33 percent, and 17 percent of the deals at this stage were also at this same stage as of March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and September 30, 2006, respectively. The average time to complete the Actual Cost Reconciliation for an FRA once the reconfiguration was completed continues to be influenced by delays in processing Change Notices by the licensee and Sprint Nextel, delays in licensees submitting to Sprint Nextel accurate and/or timely information required for the Actual Cost Reconciliation as well as Sprint Nextel delays in administering the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process. Sprint Nextel continues to address the delays in administering the Change Notice and Actual Cost Reconciliation processes and expects to see continued improvement in moving deals through the Actual Cost

⁴¹ From this point forward all subsequent information is related to FRA Completion Certifications only.

Reconciliation process during the upcoming quarter. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

The table below lists the status of deals in terms of the number of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process.

Table 12: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration)⁴²

Status of FRAs	Number
Closed FRAs	546
FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review	8
FRAs in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process Pending:	
Sprint Nextel Execution of the Completion Certificates	23
Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certificates from Licensees	26
Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certificates	14
Total FRAs in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process	63
FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process Pending:	
Sprint Nextel Pending Receipt of Signed Reconciliation Statement	17
Sprint Nextel Waiting for Receipts and Preparing Actual Cost Reconciliation Statement	154
Sprint Nextel Preparing Request for Receipt Letter	37
Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process	208
FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes:	
Finalization of either certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings	0
Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation and either Finalization of certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings	26
Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation, Requisite Regulatory Filings and certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities	1
Total FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes	27
Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete	852

⁴² Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

III. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance (“Stakeholder Outreach”), Webinars (online training seminars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

A. Stakeholder Inquiries

As noted in previous Quarterly Progress Reports, the TA has established a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the second quarter, the TA received a total of 3,151 inquiries to the Contact Center (1,065 in April 2007; 1,118 in May 2007; 968 in June 2007). Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their system(s).

B. TA-Produced Materials and the TA’s Website

During the second quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, press releases, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA’s website (www.800TA.org).

- Reconfiguration Handbook and Online Reference Guide – Updates to the Reconfiguration Handbook and the Online Reference Guide were published in May 2007 to include TA policies published in January 2007, and information about Subscriber Equipment Deployment and Special Temporary Authorizations, as well as updates to Freeze Date and Gantt Chart graphics.
- Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Statistics – In June 2007, the TA published updated FRA statistics gathered from over 444 approved FRAs for Public Safety licensees. This information was published in the expectation that the information would prove beneficial to Public Safety licensees in the preparation of cost estimates for their FRAs and would expedite the negotiation of FRAs.
- Schedule and Freeze Date Graphic – Based on the recent extension of the mandatory negotiation period and the application freeze for Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees, the TA updated all relevant information on the TA’s website and in print materials to reflect the extension.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

The TA issued the following press releases during the second quarter:

- “Wave 4, Stage 1 Negotiation Period Extended for Border-Area Non-NPSPAC Licensees” (April 30, 2007)
- “Wave 3, Stage 2 – Start of Alternative Dispute Resolution” (May 1, 2007)

During the second quarter, the TA also submitted written articles to various Public Safety Communications publications as another medium to communicate with licensees, and to educate those involved in the rebanding process. The TA submitted articles to the APCO Bulletin, Fire Rescue Magazine, and Police Chief Magazine.

In the second quarter, the TA also reached out to a large number of licensees through various mailings. Letters were sent to NPSPAC licensees in Waves 3 and 4 at Day 90-150-175 notifying them of the upcoming stages of the negotiation process. In April 2007, the TA sent out letters to Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees located in, or adjacent to, the border areas with Canada and Mexico regarding the FCC’s extension to the mandatory negotiation period. The TA, in an attempt to assist in the progression of completing the overall process, has been sending out letters requesting closing documents and actual cost reconciliation letters from licensees that are deemed outstanding. The final type of mailing sent by the TA was a letter giving concurrence to a licensee to continue with their request for a renewal of an STA.

As discussed in previous Quarterly Progress Reports, the TA’s website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. The TA’s listserv feature – TA Alerts – allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news and has 192 active subscribers to date. During the second quarter, updates were made to the TA’s website to post and advertise new TA-produced materials, FCC Public Notices and Orders, as well as the updated Online Reference Guide. In addition, planning and preparation occurred for updates that will be made available during the third quarter regarding Implementation, TA Expertise in Public Safety, and other sections. The TA’s website received an estimated 23,000 hits during the second quarter of 2007.

C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training

1. Meetings and Conferences

Meetings and events are a central component of the TA’s ongoing efforts to communicate with and educate impacted stakeholders and licensees. Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives during the second quarter of 2007 are listed in Appendix 9. In the upcoming quarter, the TA will attend the following events:

- Pikes Peak Follow-Up Meeting – Colorado Springs/El Paso County – July 2, 2007
- Colorado State Follow-up Meeting – Grand Junction, Colorado – July 11, 2007

- Louisiana SEIC Meeting – Baton Rouge, Louisiana – July 25, 2007
- Florida Region 5 DSTF Meeting – Orange County, Florida – July 26, 2007
- APCO Annual Conference – Baltimore, Maryland – August 5-9, 2007

2. Webinars

The TA has continued to conduct numerous Webinars that provide information on all facets of reconfiguration. The Webinar series to date has totaled 43 sessions with 977 attendees across the following stakeholder groups: 67.25 percent Public Safety; 0.4 percent Critical Infrastructure Industries licensees; 0.5 percent Business/Industrial Land Transportation licensees; and 22.75 percent other (consultants, vendors, etc.), with the remainder, approximately 9.1 percent, unidentified.⁴³ During the second quarter of 2007, the TA offered Webinars on the following topics:

- Subscriber Equipment Deployment
- Alternative Dispute Resolution

Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to participant surveys, reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect.

3. Licensee Outreach Campaigns

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, and in response to specific requests from the Public Safety community, the TA continued its communication and outreach efforts this quarter. Specifically, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees in Wave 3, Stage 2 to obtain information on the status of negotiations and information for mediation. This effort helped the TA gain a better understanding of licensee progress in their reconfiguration efforts. This calling campaign, which began 30 days before the end of the mandatory negotiation period, also offered TA assistance to licensees. Finally, it identified those licensees that might be impacted by mediation.

The TA also executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees in Waves 1-3, Stage 2, to offer assistance and advertise the Subscriber Equipment Deployment (“SED”) program. This calling campaign came immediately following the announcement of the SED option for licensees. This effort provided an opportunity for the TA to contact licensees and introduce the SED program, as well as provide details and answer any questions concerning the program.

⁴³ These percentages do not include all Webinars because some sessions were not polled.

IV. FINANCIAL

This section provides information for the second quarter of 2007 regarding reconfiguration expenditures, letters of credit, 800 MHz incumbent licensee reviews, the external audit, and the TA's fees and expenses.

A. Reconfiguration Expenditures

1. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs

As of June 30, 2007, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed FRAs and PFAs pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates totaling approximately \$150.6 million and Sprint Nextel had paid approximately \$62.5 million of this amount as advance payments and for work completed to date.

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$254.2 million (through June 30, 2007) in costs for (a) payments made to incumbent licensees or their vendors in accordance with TA-approved agreements executed by incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel; and (b) replacement equipment costs, including costs related to the development of software for certain incumbent licensee subscriber equipment that enables such subscriber equipment to be retuned rather than replaced and costs related to replacement equipment to be provided to incumbent licensees by Sprint Nextel (collectively "800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel had requested that the TA assess approximately \$74.3 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs (submitted as of March 31, 2007) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are creditable against the payment Sprint Nextel will make to the United States Treasury at the completion of reconfiguration ("Creditable Costs"). The TA has performed a review of approximately \$64.1 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2006). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$58.4 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audits.
- The remaining \$5.7 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$179.9 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

2. Sprint Nextel Costs

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$245.4 million (through June 30, 2007) in costs to support (a) incumbent licensee negotiations, (b) systems development costs for tracking and reporting of program activity, (c) Sprint Nextel's program and financial management activities, (d) other program costs, and (e) reconfiguration of Sprint Nextel's systems in the 800 MHz band (collectively "Sprint Nextel Costs"). The Sprint

Nextel Costs exclude certain amounts incurred related to Sprint Nextel's internal network costs that Sprint Nextel may submit for credit at a later date ("To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel has requested that the TA assess approximately \$39 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs (submitted as of March 31, 2007) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are Creditable Costs. The TA has performed a review of approximately \$33.5 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2006). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$24.1 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audits.
- The remaining \$9.4 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$206.5 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs or any of the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

3. 1.9 GHz Clearing Costs

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it has incurred approximately \$292.2 million in costs (through June 30, 2007) associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band. These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA does not conduct a review of these costs.

B. Letters of Credit

For the quarter ended June 30, 2007, Sprint Nextel has made all its required payments to licensees and vendors. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letters of Credit through June 30, 2007.

As of June 30, 2007, Sprint Nextel is not seeking a reduction in the Letters of Credit. At this time, there is no indication that the \$2.5 billion Letters of Credit balance is insufficient to cover the costs of reconfiguration, and therefore, the TA does not recommend a reduction or increase in the Letters of Credit. The TA will reassess the need to reduce or increase the Letters of Credit in the Quarterly Progress Report to be filed for the quarter ending September 30, 2007.

C. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reviews

As of June 30, 2007, the TA received completion certifications for four PFAs and 554 FRAs. These completion certifications were filed as part of the closing process once all planning or reconfiguration implementation activities were completed. The TA has reviewed the amounts expended on planning activities and reconfiguration implementation activities covered by these PFAs and FRAs and concurs with the identified remaining payments due incumbent licensees or refunds due Sprint Nextel, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

D. External Audit

On July 30, 2007, the TA filed with the FCC its Annual Report and Statement of Program Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2006.⁴⁴ As discussed previously, a majority of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs and the Sprint Nextel Costs (\$386.4 million plus the amount for the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs) has not been submitted by Sprint Nextel to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. Accordingly, these costs will be included in a subsequent period audit.

E. Transition Administrator**1. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing**

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 were \$10.11 million in fees and \$0.23 million in expenses, for a total of \$10.34 million, which is approximately \$1.37 million lower than the forecast for the second quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 10.

TA staffing as of June 30, 2007 consisted of 75 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs"). The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending September 30, 2007 are estimated at \$10.31 million in fees and \$0.28 million in expenses, for a total of \$10.59 million.

2. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint received \$1,129,571 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.⁴⁵

⁴⁴ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC's *Ex Parte* Notification, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed July 30, 2007) (attaching Annual Report and Statement of Program Expenditures).

⁴⁵ See Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 9, 2005), at 4.

Appendix 1

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	353	353	349	349	349
Multiregion	101	101	98	98	98
6	35	35	35	35	35
7	11	11	11	11	11
8	33	33	33	33	33
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	18	18	18	18	18
14	8	8	8	8	8
19	15	15	15	15	15
20	17	17	16	16	16
27	22	22	22	22	22
28	24	24	24	24	24
35	14	14	14	14	14
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	15	15	15	15	15
45	7	7	7	7	7
54	17	17	17	17	17
Wave 2	206	206	205	205	205
Multiregion	69	69	69	69	69
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	0	0	0
4	9	9	9	9	9
12	4	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5	5
16	9	9	9	9	9
17	9	9	9	9	9
22	26	26	26	26	26
24	12	12	12	12	12
25	4	4	4	4	4
26	4	4	4	4	4
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	23	23	23	23	23
40	11	11	11	11	11
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	0	0	0	0	0
49	2	2	2	2	2
51	6	6	6	6	6
52	8	8	8	8	8

Appendix 1

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	254	253	234	232	231
Multiregion	78	78	74	73	73
PSR TBD (b)	8	7	0	0	0
1	14	14	13	13	13
9	57	57	54	54	53
10	35	35	33	32	32
18	14	14	14	14	14
23	14	14	14	14	14
31	19	19	19	19	19
37	5	5	5	5	5
47	7	7	5	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3
Wave 4	167	127	104	103	103
Multiregion	41	29	23	22	22
PSR TBD (b)	2	2	2	2	2
2	4	4	4	4	4
3	33	22	22	22	22
5	18	4	2	2	2
18	14	14	8	8	8
21	3	3	1	1	1
29	8	7	7	7	7
30	5	5	5	5	5
33	12	12	7	7	7
36	3	3	3	3	3
43	8	6	5	5	5
50	6	6	6	6	6
53	5	5	5	5	5
54	5	5	4	4	4
55	0	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD (c)	26	7	5	2	2
TOTAL	1006	946	897	891	890

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave. In addition, certain Economic Area ("EA") licensees are included in this Wave Undetermined category.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	799	762	762	762	762	734	734	745	714	721	638
6	CA - North	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	105	84	84	65
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	26	27	27	20
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	93	93	94	96	96	93
11	Hawaii	56	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	54
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	39	40	38	37
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	26	26
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	81	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	62	62	62	62	49	49	55	55	55	49
27	Nevada	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	62	63	61	59
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	65	67	67	63
35	Oregon	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	47	46	46	41
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	16
42	Virginia	52	52	52	52	52	43	43	52	38	50	20
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	35	33	33	33
Wave 2	Subtotal	478	457	457	457	457	435	435	429	454	452	412
4	Arkansas	39	39	39	39	39	38	38	23	39	39	23
12	Idaho*	15	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	15
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	32	32	33	33	33	33
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	16	16	12
22	Minnesota*	76	70	70	70	70	68	68	68	70	70	70
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	35	35	36	35
25	Montana*	19	16	16	16	16	11	11	15	16	16	14
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	10
32	North Dakota*	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	24
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	42	42	42	36
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	8	7
51	TX - Houston	41	41	41	41	41	39	39	37	41	41	35
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	33	33	42	42	42	40

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	568	476	476	473	473	397	397	378	305	367	223
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	5
9	Florida	216	207	207	204	204	185	185	144	104	123	105
10	Georgia	49	47	47	47	47	38	38	45	36	45	21
18	Louisiana	79	57	57	57	57	49	49	39	44	45	31
23	Mississippi	22	21	21	21	21	20	20	13	18	19	10
31	North Carolina	67	67	67	67	67	45	45	62	43	60	36
37	South Carolina	37	37	37	37	37	20	20	35	20	35	7
47	Puerto Rico	66	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	5
48	US Virgin Islands	23	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	883	176	176	175	175	164	164	168	123	119	100
2	Alaska*	23	6	6	6	6	3	3	0	6	6	6
3	Arizona*	75	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	43	43	38
5	CA - South*	139	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	2	2	1
21	Michigan*	61	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
29	New Mexico*	25	22	22	22	22	21	21	22	17	17	15
30	NY - Albany*	95	17	17	17	17	13	13	17	6	6	6
33	Ohio*	107	17	17	16	16	16	16	16	14	14	6
36	Pennsylvania*	12	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	4
43	Washington*	154	20	20	20	20	18	18	20	8	10	10
50	TX - El Paso*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	8
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	16	9	3	2
54	MI portion of Chicago*	8	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
55	New York - Buffalo*	158	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2728	1871	1871	1867	1867	1730	1730	1720	1596	1659	1373

Notes:

- a. Data for Channel 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	337	65	65	61	58	41	41	37	25	39	14
6	CA - North	89	11	11	11	11	8	8	8	1	8	2
7	Colorado	13	7	7	7	7	0	0		0	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	24	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
11	Hawaii	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
13	Illinois	12	2	2	2	1	0	0		0	0	0
14	Indiana	33	8	8	7	7	6	6	6	5	6	5
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	23	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	1	3	0
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
27	Nevada	20	3	3	2	2	1	1		0	1	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	29	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
35	Oregon	6	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
41	Utah	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
42	Virginia	23	7	7	7	6	3	3	3	2	3	0
45	Wisconsin	9	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
54	Chicago	30	10	10	9	9	8	8	6	5	8	1
Wave 2	Subtotal	176	39	39	37	35	29	29	28	11	22	3
4	Arkansas	44	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	0
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	16	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	0
16	Kansas	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	14	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	8	3
22	Minnesota*	9	7	7	5	4	4	4	4	2	2	0
24	Missouri	11	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	0	0	0
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	2	0
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	31	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	2	0
40	TX - Dallas	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
46	Wyoming	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	8	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	210	33	33	23	22	19	19	17	11	19	4
1	Alabama	27	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2
9	Florida	68	7	7	6	6	4	4	4	3	4	0
10	Georgia	29	8	8	6	5	5	5	5	4	5	1
18	Louisiana	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	16	8	8	5	5	5	5	3	0	5	0
31	North Carolina	27	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
37	South Carolina	26	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	331	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	7	9	0
2	Alaska*	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	24	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
5	CA - South*	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	16	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
43	Washington*	22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
50	TX - El Paso*	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		1054	146	146	130	124	98	98	90	54	89	21

Notes:

- a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	1836	482	482	474	347	12	12	7	2	0	0
6	CA - North	124	28	28	28	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Colorado	215	150	150	150	150	1	1	2	0	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	384	42	42	39	39	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Hawaii	26	12	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Illinois	133	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	130	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	123	37	37	37	36	2	2	2	2	0	0
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	84	16	16	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	39	14	14	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	227	131	131	131	19	6	6	0	0	0	0
35	Oregon	36	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	Utah	140	3	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Virginia	47	13	13	11	9	3	3	3	0	0	0
45	Wisconsin	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	Chicago	125	19	19	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	666	122	122	85	82	1	1	1	0	0	0
4	Arkansas	92	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	6	3	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Kansas	214	15	15	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	17	8	8	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Minnesota*	47	32	32	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Missouri	19	7	7	7	7	1	1	1	0	0	0
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	33	13	13	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	North Dakota*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	30	5	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	56	7	7	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	TX - Dallas	41	11	11	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	7	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	57	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	37	10	10	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	856	35	35	21	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Alabama	26	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	311	7	7	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Georgia	71	11	11	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	60	6	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	21	6	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	North Carolina	199	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	157	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	1466	6	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	88	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	276	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	9	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	187	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	134	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	193	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	28	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		4824	645	645	582	449	13	13	8	2	0	0

Notes:

- Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- As of the second quarter of 2007, the NPSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	CA - North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Colorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Illinois	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	Utah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	7	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	Arkansas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Kansas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Minnesota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	7	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
40	TX - Dallas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 6/30/07	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 6/30/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 6/30/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	244	133	133	125	123	119	119	102	110	116	64
1	Alabama	57	25	25	23	23	22	22	23	23	23	18
9	Florida	39	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	4	4	3
10	Georgia	74	49	49	46	45	45	45	45	42	45	21
18	Louisiana	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	2
23	Mississippi	49	39	39	36	36	36	36	20	33	36	19
31	North Carolina	7	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
37	South Carolina	13	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		251	138	138	130	128	123	123	106	114	120	68

Notes:

- Data for the Southeast ESMR call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	343	343	192	184	174
Multiregion	52	52	25	22	21
PSR TBD (b)	2	2	2	2	2
6	36	36	23	23	21
7	6	6	3	3	3
8	44	44	32	31	31
11	4	4	2	2	0
13	5	5	2	2	1
14	21	21	16	15	15
19	48	48	31	31	29
20	24	24	6	6	6
27	4	4	2	1	1
28	20	20	14	14	13
35	8	8	4	4	4
41	7	7	3	3	3
42	24	24	7	7	6
45	4	4	1	1	1
54	34	34	19	17	17
Wave 2	227	222	100	87	84
Multiregion	13	13	5	4	4
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	1	1	1
4	9	9	6	6	6
12	1	1	0	0	0
15	14	14	4	4	4
16	20	20	9	8	8
17	15	15	13	12	12
22	14	14	11	11	10
24	13	13	5	5	4
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	19	19	14	12	12
32	3	3	0	0	0
34	12	12	5	4	4
38	0	0	0	0	0
39	28	23	6	6	6
40	30	30	9	8	8
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	2	2	2	2	2
49	18	18	6	1	1
51	12	12	3	2	1
52	2	2	0	0	0

Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	232	207	33	20	17
Multiregion	2	1	0	0	0
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	1	1	1
1	20	20	4	0	0
9	58	55	5	4	4
10	36	35	9	7	5
18	29	22	5	2	1
23	18	14	5	4	4
31	38	38	2	1	1
37	24	19	2	1	1
47	6	2	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	200	64	4	1	1
Multiregion	3	1	0	0	0
PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	15	1	0	0	0
5	33	6	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
21	21	0	0	0	0
29	8	3	1	0	0
30	13	10	0	0	0
33	43	20	1	0	0
36	9	2	0	0	0
43	21	2	1	1	1
50	3	2	0	0	0
53	17	9	1	0	0
54	5	5	0	0	0
55	5	1	0	0	0
62	1	0	0	0	0
63	1	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD (c)	33	21	10	9	9
TOTAL	1035	857	339	301	285

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WNDX449	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW513	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW653	860.7375
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVN873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Paradise Valley, Town of	AZ	WNMW364	860.2375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM865	860.2375
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Long Beach, City of	CA	KNCR530	860.2375
Los Angeles, County of	CA	KNER447	860.2625
Los Angeles, County of	CA	WPDV636	860.2625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento City Unified School District	CA	WNHX890	860.4625
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS413	860.9625
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY732	860.7125
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Saint Lucie, County of	FL	WPDV207	860.2375, 860.4625
The School Board of Broward County, Florida	FL	KNJJ560	860.9375, 860.9625
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125
Boise, City of	ID	WPII857	860.9375
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
City Colleges of Chicago	IL	WNMA681	860.2375
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WPTX994	860.4375
La Salle County of	IL	WPUK993	860.4875
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Marion County ET&SB	IL	KNN505	860.9875
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Springfield, City of	IL	WQAZ465	860.4875
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WPMI551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Madison, County of	KY	WNVN963	860.4875, 860.7375
Powderly, City of	KY	WQCD705	860.4375
Richmond, City of	KY	WQDE403	860.2625
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Iberia, Parish of	LA	WPQY650	860.7625
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WPRX834	860.9375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE613	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJ1711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMI999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Minden, City of	LA	WPWJ531	860.4875
New Orleans Convention Center	LA	WPJS469	860.2375
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority	LA	WPAP726	860.2125, 860.4375
New Orleans, City of	LA	WNCD880	860.7875, 860.8125
Orleans Levee District	LA	WNQC758	860.2625
Orleans Parish School Board	LA	KNJU690	860.7125
Sterlington, Town of	LA	WPNZ848	860.7375
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Forest Hills Public Schools	MI	WPUA724	860.7625
Walker, City of	MI	WPVP312	860.4375
Clay, County of	MN	WPHY860	861.4625
Dakota, County of	MN	WPEP246	860.7375
Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit	MN	WPQH695	860.4375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Moorhead, City of	MN	WPHY859	860.4625
Blue Springs, City of	MO	WNDG561	860.4875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJI572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
State of Missouri, Department of Corrections	MO	WPUK277	860.9375
Bolivar County E911	MS	WPXA863	860.2375
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
De Soto, County of	MS	WPCC361	860.4875, 860.7625
Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority	MS	WQDD668	857.9875
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Mississippi, University of	MS	WPJQ621	860.7375
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
Asheville, City of	NC	WNXR226	860.7625, 860.9875
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WNRU500	860.4625, 860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM257	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM264	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN591	860.7375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX341	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX343	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOZ292	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPPB719	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPRJ405	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPSM605	n/a*
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPYC603	860.4375
Fargo, City of	ND	KNNNT448	860.2125
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER503	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER504	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPPY921	860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPSZ331	860.3375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPTA210	860.3375
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPDK444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	n/a*
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Edmond, City of	OK	WPJT968	860.9375
Lincoln, County of	OK	WPVM206	860.7375
Norman, City of	OK	WPPC871	860.7625, 860.9625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP614	860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP618	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ850	860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ878	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN465	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN466	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN467	860.2625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR618	860.2125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR886	860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR887	860.7625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR889	860.9375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR890	860.2375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQGF211	860.4375
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.2125, 860.9625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WNSK475	860.2375, 860.7375
Allegheny County Department Of Emergency Services	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625
Allegheny County Department Of Emergency Services	PA	WNWC473	860.2125, 860.4875
Allegheny County Department Of Emergency Services	PA	WPJG234	860.2125, 860.4875
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPTY624	860.7125, 860.9625
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenville, County of	SC	WPDK619	860.7125
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson, City of	TN	WPEU965	860.2625, 860.7625
Jackson, City of	TN	WQBB501	860.9875
Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority	TN	WPUQ392	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPKH401	860.9375
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Abilene, City of	TX	WPFQ263	860.4375, 860.9625
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	WPTD745	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
San Angelo, City of	TX	WPJG225	860.4625, 860.9375
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Murray, City of	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPWH838	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPXK838	860.4375
Salt Lake City, City of	UT	KNJU695	860.7625, 860.9625
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBI350	n/a*
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of June 30, 2007

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Utah, County of	UT	WPZV887	860.2125, 860.9375
Arlington, County of	VA	KNIQ704	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Fairfax, County of	VA	KNIH412	860.2625
Fairfax, County of	VA	WNAJ365	860.2625
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
King, County of	WA	WQBZ725	860.4625, 860.9625
Valley Communications Center	WA	WQBD600	860.2625, 860.7125
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Sheboygan, County of	WI	WPTS436	860.2625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPFD727	860.2375
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2007

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007							
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	Subtotal		799	337	1836	0	2972
6	CA - North	1	106	89	124	0	319
7	Colorado	1	27	13	215	0	255
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	1	96	24	384	0	504
11	Hawaii	1	56	5	26	0	87
13	Illinois	1	40	12	133	0	185
14	Indiana	1	27	33	130	0	190
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	1	81	23	123	0	227
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	1	66	18	84	0	168
27	Nevada	1	63	20	39	0	122
28	NJ, PA, DE	1	67	29	227	0	323
35	Oregon	1	48	6	36	0	90
41	Utah	1	21	3	140	0	164
42	Virginia	1	52	23	47	0	122
45	Wisconsin	1	13	9	3	0	25
54	Chicago	1	36	30	125	0	191
Wave 2	Subtotal		478	176	666	7	1327
4	Arkansas	2	39	44	92	0	175
12	Idaho*	2	15	0	0	0	15
15	Iowa	2	16	16	6	0	38
16	Kansas	2	33	6	214	0	253
17	Kentucky	2	16	14	17	0	47
22	Minnesota*	2	76	9	47	0	132
24	Missouri	2	37	11	19	0	67
25	Montana*	2	19	0	0	0	19
26	Nebraska	2	10	4	33	0	47
32	North Dakota*	2	12	0	1	0	13
34	Oklahoma	2	25	5	30	0	60
38	South Dakota	2	1	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	2	43	31	56	7	137
40	TX - Dallas	2	38	19	41	0	98
44	West Virginia	2	3	1	7	0	11
46	Wyoming	2	1	2	7	0	10
49	TX - Austin	2	11	8	57	0	76
51	TX - Houston	2	41	5	37	0	83
52	TX - Lubbock	2	42	1	2	0	45
Wave 3	Subtotal		568	210	856	244	1878
1	Alabama	3	9	27	26	57	119
9	Florida	3	216	68	311	39	634
10	Georgia	3	49	29	71	74	223
18	Louisiana	2	79	15	60	5	159
23	Mississippi	3	22	16	21	49	108
31	North Carolina	3	67	27	199	7	300
37	South Carolina	3	37	26	157	13	233
47	Puerto Rico	2	66	2	11	0	79
48	US Virgin Islands	2	23	0	0	0	23

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2007

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of June 30, 2007							
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs							
Wave 4	Subtotal		883	331	1466	0	2680
2	Alaska*	4	23	5	1	0	29
3	Arizona*	4	75	24	88	0	187
5	CA - South*	4	139	106	377	0	622
21	Michigan*	4	61	2	276	0	339
29	New Mexico*	4	25	5	9	0	39
30	NY - Albany*	4	95	69	187	0	351
33	Ohio*	4	107	38	134	0	279
36	Pennsylvania*	4	12	16	193	0	221
43	Washington*	4	154	22	144	0	320
50	TX - El Paso*	4	10	3	3	0	16
53	TX - San Antonio*	4	16	16	28	0	60
54	MI portion of Chicago*	4	8	6	20	0	34
55	New York - Buffalo*	4	158	16	6	0	180
61	Gulf of Mexico	4	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	4	0	2	0	0	2
63	Guam	4	0	1	0	0	1
Total for Waves 1-4			2728	1054	4824	251	8857

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Expansion Band Elections Totals, as of June 30, 2007 (Elections NOT to Reconfigure)			
Wave	Public Safety Region (PSR) Number	PSR Name	Call Signs
1	6	CA - North	27
1	7	Colorado	3
1	8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	24
1	11	Hawaii	2
1	13	Illinois	15
1	14	Indiana	4
1	19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	2
1	20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	12
1	27	Nevada	3
1	28	NJ, PA, DE	22
1	35	Oregon	8
1	41	Utah	10
1	42	Virginia	3
1	45	Wisconsin	2
1	54	Chicago	9
2	4	Arkansas	6
2	12	Idaho*	6
2	15	Iowa	4
2	16	Kansas	3
2	17	Kentucky	4
2	22	Minnesota*	14
2	24	Missouri	4
2	26	Nebraska	6
2	32	North Dakota*	1
2	34	Oklahoma	7
2	38	South Dakota	1
2	39	Tennessee	10
2	40	TX - Dallas	2
2	44	West Virginia	2
2	49	TX - Austin	6
2	51	TX - Houston	9
2	52	TX - Lubbock	2
3	1	Alabama	4
3	9	Florida	17
3	18	Louisiana	40
3	23	Mississippi	8
3	31	North Carolina	14
3	37	South Carolina	10
4	2	Alaska*	3
4	3	Arizona*	4
4	5	CA - South*	22
4	36	Pennsylvania*	5
4	43	Washington*	4
4	50	TX - El Paso*	2
4	53	TX - San Antonio*	1
4	54	MI portion of Chicago*	2
Grand Total			369

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of June 30, 2007

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of June 30, 2007														
Status	Wave 1			Wave 2				Wave 3				Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC
FPR Sent	90.3%	98.1%	88.3%	94.5%	100.0%	94.2%	91.6%	78.6%	100.0%	83.4%	97.3%	14.9%	38.7%	5.4%
Under Prior Contract	2.9%	0.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	11.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	5.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Affected by Border Zone	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	77.2%	51.2%	94.6%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	3.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	9.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.2%	0.2%	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	8.4%	0.3%	0.0%	15.9%	2.7%	2.3%	8.7%	0.0%
FPRs in process (6/30/2007)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes:

* Public Safety Region (PSR) includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.

a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.

b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.

c. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined in inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.

d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.

e. Of the outstanding unsent NPSPAC FPRs represented in this table for Waves 1-3, the majority are STA call signs. The TA does not generally send FPRs for STA call signs unless requested by the licensee for the purposes of planning funding or contract negotiation.

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	288	48	13	0	0	349
	Multiregion	76	18	4	0	0	98
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Northern California	30	3	2	0	0	35
7	Colorado	10	0	1	0	0	11
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	28	5	0	0	0	33
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	16	2	0	0	0	18
14	Indiana	6	1	1	0	0	8
19	New England	15	0	0	0	0	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	9	7	0	0	0	16
27	Nevada	18	3	1	0	0	22
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	0	1	0	0	24
35	Oregon	13	1	0	0	0	14
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	13	2	0	0	0	15
45	Wisconsin	6	1	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	13	3	1	0	0	17
Wave 2	Subtotal	168	34	2	1	0	205
	Multiregion	53	14	2	0	0	69
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Arkansas	6	3	0	0	0	9
12	Idaho (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
15	Iowa	3	2	0	0	0	5
16	Kansas	8	0	0	1	0	9
17	Kentucky	8	1	0	0	0	9
22	Minnesota	22	4	0	0	0	26
24	Missouri	9	3	0	0	0	12
25	Montana	4	0	0	0	0	4
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	21	2	0	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	1	0	0	0	11
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	6	0	0	0	0	6
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	Subtotal	212	15	4	0	0	231
	Multiregion	67	4	2	0	0	73
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Alabama	12	1	0	0	0	13
9	Florida	47	4	2	0	0	53
10	Georgia	30	2	0	0	0	32
18	Louisiana	13	1	0	0	0	14
23	Mississippi	13	1	0	0	0	14
31	North Carolina	18	1	0	0	0	19
37	South Carolina	4	1	0	0	0	5
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	US Virgin Islands	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	99	3	1	0	0	103
	Multiregion	22	0	0	0	0	22
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	1	0	0	2
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona (a)	22	0	0	0	0	22
5	CA - South (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
18	Louisiana	8	0	0	0	0	8
21	Michigan (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
29	New Mexico (a)	7	0	0	0	0	7
30	Eastern Upstate NY (a)	4	1	0	0	0	5
33	Ohio (a)	7	0	0	0	0	7
36	Pennsylvania (a)	3	0	0	0	0	3
43	Washington (a)	5	0	0	0	0	5
50	TX - El Paso (a)	6	0	0	0	0	6
53	TX - San Antonio (a)	3	2	0	0	0	5
54	MI portion of Chicago (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
55	New York - Buffalo (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD (c)	Subtotal	2	0	0	0	0	2
Totals for Waves 1-4		769	100	20	1	0	890

Notes:

(a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	163	10	1	0	0	174
	Multiregion	19	2	0	0	0	21
	PSR TBD (b)	2	0	0	0	0	2
6	Northern California	20	1	0	0	0	21
7	Colorado	3	0	0	0	0	3
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	30	0	1	0	0	31
11	Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Illinois	1	0	0	0	0	1
14	Indiana	13	2	0	0	0	15
19	New England	26	3	0	0	0	29
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	5	1	0	0	0	6
27	Nevada	1	0	0	0	0	1
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	13	0	0	0	0	13
35	Oregon	4	0	0	0	0	4
41	Utah	3	0	0	0	0	3
42	Virginia	6	0	0	0	0	6
45	Wisconsin	1	0	0	0	0	1
54	Southern Lake Michigan	16	1	0	0	0	17
Wave 2	Subtotal	81	2	1	0	0	84
	Multiregion	4	0	0	0	0	4
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	Arkansas	6	0	0	0	0	6
12	Idaho (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	4	0	0	0	0	4
16	Kansas	7	1	0	0	0	8
17	Kentucky	11	1	0	0	0	12
22	Minnesota	10	0	0	0	0	10
24	Missouri	4	0	0	0	0	4
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	12	0	0	0	0	12
32	North Dakota (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	4	0	0	0	0	4
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	6	0	0	0	0	6
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	8	0	0	0	0	8
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	1	0	1	0	0	2
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	0	0	0	0	1
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	1	0	0	0	0	1
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 3	Subtotal	16	1	0	0	0	17
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	Alabama	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Florida	3	1	0	0	0	4
10	Georgia	5	0	0	0	0	5
18	Louisiana	1	0	0	0	0	1
23	Mississippi	4	0	0	0	0	4
31	North Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
37	South Carolina	1	0	0	0	0	1
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of June 30, 2007

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 4	Subtotal	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Multiregion	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PSR TBD (b)	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Eastern Upstate NY (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
50	TX - El Paso (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave TBD (c)	Subtotal	7	2	0	0	0	9
Totals for Waves 1-4		268	15	2	0	0	285

Notes:

(a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

(c) Wave TBD (To Be Determined) - Deals projected by Sprint Nextel that have no call signs yet associated with them. The proper reconfiguration wave category will generally be determined upon the TA receiving the associated call sign assets or the FRA, although some deals cannot be classified by wave.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
Deal Name		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Cunningham, Barbara	5/3/06	
2	Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	1/26/07	
3	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	7/12/06	
4	Henderson, Henry	6/26/06	
5	Vico Construction Company	4/17/06	
6	Cohen, Eliahu	6/11/07	
7	Anderson, Glen	5/18/07	
8	Smith, Alton	5/18/06	
9	Kuypers, John	2/27/06	
10	Reilly, Phillip	6/5/07	
11	Stoller Construction	2/5/07	
12	Wulf, Thomas	7/10/06	
13	Chapin, Barbara A.	5/25/06	
14	Estate of Rolland Gonsalves	6/30/06	
15	Ferma Corp	9/20/06	
16	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05	
17	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	7/5/06	
18	Cupertino Union School District	6/6/06	
19	Billiou Ranch Inc.	2/7/06	
20	841 Bishop, LLC	7/6/06	
21	Marco Polo Rebanding	4/27/06	
22	Ohio Valley Gas	4/27/06	
23	Resorts USA Inc.	6/30/06	
24	NBC Telemundo License Company	10/9/06	
25	Parrot Ranch Company	2/15/06	
26	Hartford Hospital	1/19/07	
27	CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems	8/9/06	
28	Hampden Communication Corp	12/12/06	
29	Mountaire Farms	12/5/06	
30	Ihilani Rebanding	10/20/06	
31	Binder Machinery Corporation	4/27/06	
32	Adler, Philip	2/1/07	
33	Underground Inc	6/21/06	
34	Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc.	7/12/06	
35	Firstview Communications 2	1/27/06	
36	Levi Strauss & Co	8/30/06	
37	Total Networks Communications Inc	8/3/06	
38	M S Concrete	7/26/06	
39	Brenner, Jerry	2/21/07	
40	F & D Enterprises Inc.	7/17/06	
41	Boston Properties limited Partnership	8/24/06	
42	A Teichert & Son Contract	8/1/06	
43	Ready Mixed Concrete Company	6/26/07	
44	Bresnan Communications, LLC	6/9/06	
45	Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc.	7/24/06	
46	Coast Hotels and Casinos	2/27/06	
47	Henry Nelch & Son Co	7/28/06	
48	Gold Star FS INC	4/18/06	
49	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	8/1/06	
50	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	8/30/06	
51	Casino Queen INC	7/10/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
52	West County Transportation Agency	6/19/06	
53	Emery, Connie R	5/10/06	
54	Emery, Robert M	6/6/06	
55	Steuben County 3	8/15/06	
56	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	6/6/06	
57	Wireless Connections LLC	10/17/06	
58	Brooks, Janis	12/1/06	
59	Comcast of Connecticut, Inc.	11/27/06	
60	San Jose Unified School District	7/27/06	
61	Duncan Gordon L	11/21/06	
62	Las Vegas Paving	7/6/06	
63	Sobota, Carolyn	6/16/06	
64	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2	6/8/06	
65	Weleccki, Alan M	12/28/06	
66	Rheins, Joy 2	5/23/07	
67	RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2	6/14/07	
68	Storer, Donald B.	6/21/06	
69	Praire Group Inc.	3/15/07	
70	Super Shuttle International 2	5/24/06	
71	Mathews Ferderick and Chas	12/6/06	
72	O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc.	6/4/07	
73	Irving Materials	7/28/06	
74	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	5/16/06	
75	Perdue Farms Inc.	6/23/06	
76	London Towncars Inc	8/8/06	
77	Lowery, Nelda	2/15/06	
78	New Star Fresh Foods LLC	10/9/06	
79	Access Ag, Inc.	5/24/06	
80	Blankenbechler, Steve	8/1/06	
81	Fowlkes, Edddie	8/31/06	
82	Wireless Market Source 2	1/26/06	
83	Transit Mix Concrete Co	1/24/06	
84	Boyar, Adam	4/25/06	
85	Sunset Scavenger Corp	4/27/06	
86	Shuluk, Greg	8/28/06	
87	Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc.	1/19/07	
88	Lely, Gerald	7/12/06	
89	Jung, Mark	9/11/06	
90	Crader, R David	4/17/06	
91	Central Bucks School District	4/13/07	
92	Patel, Shailesh N #3 (swap)	6/14/06	
93	Wright Jr, Gary L	10/23/06	
94	Yamaoka Bros Inc	5/25/06	
95	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers	7/17/06	
96	Community Repeater Guidance CA	8/1/06	
97	Communications Systems Specialist, Inc.	6/9/06	
98	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	7/26/06	
99	Gallagher Asphalt # 2	5/23/06	
100	Jeffersonville,City of	8/4/06	
101	Black, Daniel	6/1/06	
102	County of Ocean New Jersey	3/15/07	
103	Steg, Bernard (2)	5/10/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
104	Stock, Marcia	2/15/06	
105	Secom Communications 2	7/10/06	
106	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	8/16/06	
107	High Peak Communications, LLC	4/21/06	
108	Central Jersey Irrigation	7/24/06	
109	Conley, George	11/2/06	
110	Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc	2/16/06	
111	Wheatridge, City of	8/15/06	
112	Ruffin Gaming LLC	4/23/06	
113	Denver Public Schools	9/6/06	
114	Hernandez, David A	6/12/07	
115	Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2	1/29/07	
116	Shearer Communications	8/15/06	
117	Tilden, Robert	8/9/06	
118	Prentiss Properties (rebanding)	7/13/06	
119	Espinoza, Raul	4/27/06	
120	Adams, Don Jr.	5/17/07	
121	Triangle Wireless Inc	7/12/06	
122	Oregon, State of	12/22/05	
123	Detweiler, Scott R.	5/15/06	
124	Donald, C. Alger	8/15/06	
125	Aggregate Inc.	1/17/07	
126	Easton, Town of	7/28/06	
127	Albany, City of	5/3/06	
128	Horizon Mobile Communications Inc.	3/29/07	
129	RA Comm Inc	1/10/06	
130	Salisbury, Town of	5/9/06	
131	Sprague, Town of	2/27/07	
132	Radon - Norcom	3/15/07	
133	Lyon, Corey M	8/4/06	
134	Jokers, Lloyd L	2/7/06	
135	Taylor, Eugene J.	4/21/06	
136	Methvin, Madeline	9/5/06	
137	Clean Water Services	7/21/06	
138	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	7/19/06	
139	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	8/4/06	
140	Caterpillar	2/15/07	
141	Townsend Booth	5/23/07	
142	Wecom Inc.	12/6/06	
143	Soundview Spectrum, LLC	3/15/07	
144	Orosz, Joseph Rebanding	6/11/07	
145	G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding	11/27/06	
146	Excalibur Hotel Casino	8/28/06	
147	Mandalay Corp	8/3/06	
148	Crane, Bert	10/16/06	
149	Morgan, County of (WV)	11/10/06	
150	Tooele, County Of	5/9/06	
151	Herby, Clinton	4/17/06	
152	Stamford Fire Department	10/6/06	
153	Aluminium Company of America 1	7/28/06	
154	Celco SND Comm Inc	7/7/06	
155	Lectro Communications Inc.	8/9/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
156	Lockheed Martin Corporation	3/6/07	
157	Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2)	9/6/06	
158	Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc.	10/5/06	
159	Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2)	5/9/06	
160	Plantings By the Sea	4/25/06	
161	Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc	8/16/06	
162	Globeground North America LLC	11/20/06	
163	Hudson General -NY	5/24/07	
164	Slater, Stuart R	12/23/05	
165	Veach, Dorothy	8/30/06	
166	Aeronautical Radio - NJ	10/6/06	
167	Connecticut State of , CCSU	1/8/07	
168	Salvation Army Harrisburg	7/17/06	
169	Technology Associates, LLC	8/29/06	
170	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	9/8/06	
171	Taylor, Dorothy L	4/27/06	
172	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	6/14/06	
173	Myers, Natalie	5/18/06	
174	Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada)	7/6/06	
175	Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap)	7/20/06	
176	Motient (Deal I - L120)	4/17/07	
177	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2)	9/26/06	
178	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4)	9/27/06	
179	Frontier Radio	2/9/07	
180	Colorado, State of (L-120)	5/30/07	
181	Cook Dupage Transportation	8/21/06	
182	New York Communications Company Inc.	6/1/07	
183	WP Company LLC	3/2/07	
184	Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc.	5/31/07	
185	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	8/8/06	
186	K5 Contruction	1/24/07	
187	Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc.	12/8/06	
188	Triple C Communications	12/6/06	
189	Willard Agri Svc	1/19/07	
190	Mirage Resorts Inc.	3/26/07	
191	Granite Contruction Company	9/8/06	
192	Windham, Town of	11/20/06	
193	First Student, Inc.	4/19/07	
194	K & A Mutual Associates Inc.	1/23/07	
195	Dorler Communications Company	11/1/06	
196	Chaney Enterprises (2)	3/8/07	
197	Upper Merion, Township of	9/8/06	
198	XW LLC #2 (swap)	3/21/07	
199	Dole Fresh Fruit	1/25/07	
200	Sun Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company	5/11/07	
201	William A. Hazel	2/2/07	
202	Metro Electronics	3/16/07	
203	JTE Enterprises	2/21/07	
204	Insight Communications Midwest LLC	2/26/07	
205	Joseph J Albanese Inc	2/15/07	
206	The Boeing Company	8/30/06	
207	Illinois, State of	10/12/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
208	Nevada Ready Mix II	2/27/07	
209	Meriden, City of	3/21/07	
210	Rhode Island, State of	3/20/07	
211	Motorola (2)	4/20/07	
212	R. W. Miller & Sons Inc	2/8/07	
213	Deere & Company	11/2/06	
214	Trenton, City of	4/6/07	
215	TKT INC	11/2/06	
216	Montgomery Shuttle	4/11/07	
217	Gerawan Farming (Re-band)	3/27/07	
218	Ferrari, Julia (Plote)	6/16/06	
219	E&J Gallo Winery	8/14/06	
220	Mary Ellen Brewer (Lundy)	2/26/07	
221	Pennington, Agnes	5/15/07	
222	Petry, Gay	10/17/06	
223	Glastonbury Police Department	3/15/07	
224	Olde City Taxi Coach Association, Inc.	4/30/07	
225	Baker Rock Crushing Company	11/27/06	
226	Frederick County, MD	2/9/07	
227	Sonoma County, CA	3/1/07	
228	David Whiteside	6/26/07	
229	KLL Wireless, INC	1/29/07	
230	Durham School services - PHI, PA	5/1/07	
231	Durham School services - CHI, IL	5/1/07	
232	Durham School Services - Decatur, IL	5/23/07	
233	Durham School Services - North CA	5/1/07	
234	Cumberland, County of	6/5/07	
235	Frederick County, MD Board of Education	2/8/07	
236	PG & E (Re-Band)	5/15/07	
237	Wille Brother Company	1/25/07	
238	Waterbury, City of	10/24/06	
239	Hawaii, State of	3/16/07	
240	Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap)	6/22/07	
241	Rock County, WI	11/13/06	
242	New Haven, City of	1/25/07	
243	Bethlehem, City of	6/27/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		243
Wave 2			
1	Fischer, Craig D	4/21/06	
2	Frontier El Dorado Refining	6/1/06	
3	TFM Comm Inc.	7/13/06	
4	Gateway Wireless Services 3	10/4/06	
5	Butler, Edward	7/6/06	
6	Curtis Well Servicing	1/10/07	
7	Champion Communications Services	3/14/06	
8	J Lee Milligan	6/6/06	
9	Balis, Gregory K	6/28/06	
10	Malavia, Jim	5/9/06	
11	Telebeep Inc.	6/1/06	
12	Best D & D	5/18/06	
13	Nebco Inc.	2/27/06	
14	Herby, John	7/27/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
15	Graybill Electronics Inc.	5/25/06	
16	Triple D Communications Inc	4/27/06	
17	Seba Bros Farms Inc	4/27/06	
18	Electronic Specialties, Inc	3/8/06	
19	Fred Weber Inc.	7/19/06	
20	Gerbos Bros Contruction Co	2/28/07	
21	West Central Communications 2	7/12/06	
22	Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi	7/5/06	
23	Maplewood, City of	1/3/06	
24	Boyar, Chris	6/9/06	
25	Roadrunner Transportation	6/6/06	
26	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	6/29/06	
27	Corey Seppmann Well Drilling	1/17/07	
28	Davis Jr, Clifton	8/15/06	
29	Allied Services LLC	7/26/06	
30	Battles Communications 2	5/15/06	
31	Houston 900 Network	6/23/06	
32	Smith, Eleanor (2)	5/18/06	
33	Mattson Well Company	2/19/07	
34	Williamstown, City of	8/7/06	
35	Metro Communications LLC	4/27/06	
36	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	5/5/06	
37	Minnesota, State of	5/15/06	
38	Lyondell Citgo	3/27/06	
39	Zenk, John	1/10/06	
40	Johnson, Christian	3/14/06	
41	Myers, L E	6/2/06	
42	Steier, Tim	4/21/06	
43	Ames Construction Inc.	8/15/06	
44	Stier Transportation Services Inc.	8/18/06	
45	Herby, Martha A	8/16/06	
46	Raabe, Richard	11/8/06	
47	First Student, Inc.	4/17/06	
48	Alperowitz, Francine	7/28/06	
49	Radioland Inc.	5/15/06	
50	McMahon, Eric	4/17/06	
51	Leonardt, Bruno	8/1/06	
52	Boulder AG	3/20/07	
53	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	2/3/06	
54	Wood Communications 2	6/28/06	
55	Mid Tennessee third Mobile	5/9/06	
56	Aeronautical Radio Inc	10/27/06	
57	Raft River Rural Electric Corp	1/5/06	
58	Lees Summit Board of Education	12/6/05	
59	Alpha Wireless Communications Inc.	3/13/07	
60	Kenton County Airport Board	6/19/06	
61	Epperson, Jimmy A.	5/25/06	
62	Miller, Cleo	8/24/06	
63	Melba School District 136	6/6/06	
64	North Kansas City, City of (School District)	7/13/06	
65	Airtel Wireless, LLC	8/28/06	
66	Ashland Construction Communications	7/6/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
67	Craighead, County of AR	8/16/06	
68	Crittenden County, AR	11/1/06	
69	Grant County, AR	3/5/07	
70	Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications	6/9/06	
71	Pine Bluff, City of, AR	6/16/06	
72	Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs	5/24/06	
73	Sebastian, County of, AR	4/13/07	
74	Minneapolis, City of	6/19/06	
75	Hopkins, Terry	6/26/06	
76	Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections	5/18/07	
77	Cox Communications - Wichita, KS	5/15/06	
78	Wenner Farms	3/14/07	
79	21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding)	8/9/06	
80	Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing	4/3/07	
81	Bismark, City of	12/27/06	
82	Molitor Farms Inc	8/9/06	
83	W G Block Co	1/17/07	
84	Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A	3/15/07	
85	Hopkins, City of	10/31/06	
86	Lehman Roberts	9/15/06	
87	Main Street Partners	6/7/07	
88	Crescent, The	2/26/07	
89	Watowan Farm Service	9/27/06	
90	Eastman Chemical Company	12/1/06	
91	Hearthstone Enterprises Inc	10/3/06	
92	Mustang, City of, OK	12/15/06	
93	Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc	5/2/07	
94	Co Op Agriculture Center	8/24/06	
95	Bellar Communications Co	8/14/06	
96	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	8/24/06	
97	Michael Howell	10/12/06	
98	Schmidtke, Dave	11/1/06	
99	Estate of Joseph C Thames	7/21/06	
100	Green Isle Countryside Farms	3/28/07	
101	Charleston Housing	3/22/07	
102	City of Dakota Dunes	8/28/06	
103	C & W Comm (Steve Gill)	11/9/06	
104	Gem State	2/5/07	
105	Snyder, Kenneth C.	2/8/07	
106	AVR Inc.	10/6/06	
107	C S Leasing	11/27/06	
108	Midwest City	11/2/06	
109	Hennepin County	3/15/07	
110	Union Carbide Corp	12/8/06	
111	Griffin, Bryan	1/3/07	
112	Berry Hill, City of	5/14/07	
113	Sater, Gary N.	2/12/07	
114	G & D Communications	4/19/07	
115	Brush, Peter	2/1/07	
116	Aeronautical Radio - MS	11/29/06	
117	A Communications LLC	6/12/07	
118	John Knox Village	11/10/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
119	Dallas Count, TX	11/27/06	
120	Northwest Airlines	3/15/07	
121	Ford Communications	5/24/07	
122	Anchorage, City of	2/5/07	
123	W A Ellis Construction Company	4/9/07	
124	Xcel Energy Services Inc	1/18/07	
125	Durham School Services - MO	5/1/07	
126	County Douglas - KS	1/3/07	
127	Raymer, Joe R	5/17/07	
128	Leavenworth, County of, KS	6/4/07	
129	Action Radio & Communications INC	10/23/06	
130	Holes Inc	2/27/07	
131	Electronic Engineering Co. 3	2/26/07	
132	SR Communications Associates	3/6/07	
133	Cemstone Products Company	2/7/07	
134	Callahan Tower Joint Venture	1/5/07	
135	Richardson, TX City of	10/30/06	
136	Occidental Permian LTD	2/26/07	
137	Challenger Construction INC.	6/11/07	
138	Chattanooga SMR Inc	1/3/07	
139	Athens Utilities Board, TN	11/17/06	
140	SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street	3/5/07	
141	JRJ Paving	5/24/07	
142	American Red Cross	12/1/06	
143	JP Jenkins, Inc.	3/28/07	
144	Ebenhyoh, Daniel	2/26/07	
145	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	4/9/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		145
Wave 3			
1	Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Pa	3/27/06	
2	Curry and Company Plumbing	5/10/06	
3	BJM and Associated Inc	10/17/06	
4	Cargill Juice North America, Inc.	1/3/06	
5	Ben Hill Griffin	7/11/06	
6	North Communications of PR	5/10/06	
7	Boulware, Winston	6/20/06	
8	Bright House Networks, LLC	7/19/06	
9	CNF Transportation Inc.	12/8/06	
10	Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C.	5/23/06	
11	Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi	7/7/06	
12	Quadratics Inc.	7/14/06	
13	Rodd Electronics Contract	6/29/06	
14	Shreveport Communications	7/11/06	
15	Fulk, Gray L.	7/26/06	
16	Wilemon, Jerry W	5/17/06	
17	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3)	9/27/06	
18	Gastonia, city of , NC	8/15/06	
19	Ashford, City of, AL	8/30/06	
20	Louisburg, Town of, NC	8/15/06	
21	Ingram Grove Service Inc	8/9/06	
22	Patterson Communications & Electronics	12/11/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
23	Byrd, Alan P	8/5/06	
24	Houston County of AL	7/21/06	
25	Tri Co Communications	8/10/06	
26	Gaston, County of, NC	2/21/07	
27	Mears Destination Services	8/15/06	
28	AFLAC	9/15/06	
29	Oxford, City of, NC	10/6/06	
30	Jack M Berry Inc.	12/13/06	
31	Chatco Communications	5/17/07	
32	Tallulah, City of, LA	11/29/06	
33	White, James	9/8/06	
34	Morganton, City of, NC	8/28/06	
35	Waters Brothers Contracting	9/15/06	
36	Monroe - Southern	2/22/07	
37	Buddys Phone Patching Inc.	9/20/06	
38	Dothan City Schools	3/27/07	
39	Waste Services of Florida	11/17/06	
40	Sugar Cane Growers	2/15/07	
41	Lykes Brothers Inc	8/1/06	
42	Micro Technology Southeast	3/5/07	
43	Tallapoosa, County Of, AL	12/11/06	
44	Supershuttle International	9/6/06	
45	Woodard Communications Corporation	11/7/06	
46	APM Terminals North America	5/14/07	
47	Electronic Maintenance Company	12/19/06	
48	Lakeland, City Of, FL	4/6/07	
49	Two Way Communications, Inc. LA	11/2/06	
50	Haralson, County Of, GA	12/13/06	
51	Rabalais Jr, Ronald J	10/11/06	
52	Cameron, Parish Of, LA	3/26/07	
53	Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc	10/27/06	
54	Horn Lake, City of, MS	1/18/07	
55	Alpharetta, City of, GA	2/15/07	
56	Washington, County of, FL	11/27/06	
57	Charlotte, City of, NC	11/21/06	
58	Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL	12/6/06	
59	Neighborly Care Network, Inc.	2/12/07	
60	CellSMR South Inc	10/17/06	
61	Sea Coast Communications Inc	5/14/07	
62	Everglades Communications Inc	11/20/06	
63	Saint Joseph Hospital INC	10/17/06	
64	Anderson, City Of, SC	3/2/07	
65	Columbus, City of, GA	1/17/07	
66	Gainesville, City of, GA	3/30/07	
67	North Carolina, State of - DOC	2/2/07	
68	Re Max Agents Realty Inc	3/5/07	
69	Louisiana Transit Company Inc.	1/30/07	
70	Forest Park, City Of, GA	4/19/07	
71	Martha Herby - Virgin Islands	3/27/07	
72	City of Wilson	3/20/07	
73	Roswell, City of, GA	4/2/07	
74	S C Department Of Mental Health, SC	1/3/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
75	Huntsville Radio Service	3/27/07	
76	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority	5/15/07	
77	Shaw Industries Inc	3/21/07	
78	Tampa Electric Company	11/21/06	
79	United States Sugar Corporation	2/15/07	
80	Palm Springs, Village of, FL	6/19/07	
81	Florida Hospital	2/27/07	
82	Purser, Mark	2/26/07	
83	Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority	1/31/07	
84	Desoto, County of, FL	3/28/07	
85	Donn Barr - Virgin Islands	2/2/07	
86	Action Community Center	11/27/06	
87	Sunstate Communications Inc	3/2/07	
88	Richland, City of, MS	3/26/07	
89	High Tech Communications Services Inc	3/16/07	
90	Palm Beach, County of, FL	3/15/07	
91	Perry, County of	12/27/06	
92	Consolidated Freightways Corporation	6/1/07	
93	Pineville, Town of, NC	3/12/07	
94	Communications Leasing Corp	4/3/07	
95	Lantana, Town of, FL	3/5/07	
96	Madison, Parish of, LA	11/17/06	
97	Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority, MS	12/27/06	
98	Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District	12/28/06	
99	M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc	2/23/07	
100	Federal Express Corporation	3/13/07	
101	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Companies	3/27/07	
102	FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INC DBA F	1/17/07	
103	Whitley's Communications Service	3/1/07	
104	SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, FL	3/5/07	
105	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA	1/30/07	
106	LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA	2/27/07	
107	SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA	4/19/07	
108	Alabama, University of	4/16/07	
109	ARC TRANSIT INC	12/15/06	
110	Mississippi DOT	3/27/07	
111	NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF	3/23/07	
112	HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC	4/6/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		112
Wave 4			
1	Keller, Mike L.	4/27/06	
2	Johnson, Harold L #3	3/27/06	
3	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding)	6/6/06	
4	J.R. Simplot Company	12/23/05	
5	Josh Klassen Inc.	6/1/06	
6	Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital	12/6/06	
7	Texas Communications Inc.	2/5/07	
8	Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap)	6/2/06	
9	Kronenfeld, Mark	7/12/06	
10	Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 (Swap)	7/25/06	
11	Pagel Partnership	3/20/07	
12	Sims, Vicki	9/15/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 FRAs*			Deal Count
Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date		
13 Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network	9/19/06		
14 Rizzo, Alice P.	11/9/06		
15 Middlesex, Township of, PA	9/20/06		
16 Schatzlein, David A. #2	8/1/06		
17 Gerhard, Stephen	5/23/07		
18 Bumgarner, Jeffrey W	5/23/07		
19 Chingas, Joseph	9/18/06		
20 Waste Management Holdings, Inc.	2/9/07		
21 Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA	1/2/07		
22 Aksala Electronics Inc.	2/21/07		
23 Abilene, City of, TX	6/14/07		
24 NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS	3/27/07		
25 SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER	3/27/07		
26 B&M Enterprises	5/14/07		
27 PETRIE, GLENN	5/17/07		
28 BLASDELL, JAMES A	3/5/07		
29 Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy	5/14/07		
30 SAMNADDA, TERRY	5/14/07		
31 GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON	4/12/07		
32 ABRAHAM, KYE A	3/9/07		
33 BRAUCHLE, JACK W	2/27/07		
34 RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC	4/30/07		
35 STELLER, JO ANN	4/12/07		
36 WELLMON, STEVE	4/30/07		
37 SMITH, JOY L	5/25/07		
Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4			37
Total Completed FRAs			537

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of June 30, 2007

Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005	6/12/07	
2	Cure Brothers	6/28/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		2
Wave 2			
1	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	6/25/07	
2	MO ARK Communications Inc.	4/11/07	
3	Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP	5/17/07	
4	Central Communications & Electronics	6/11/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		4
Wave 3			
1	Carroll, County Of, GA	6/4/07	
2	Mountain Park, City of, GA	2/26/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		2
Wave 4			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		0
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			8

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 1 PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Roanoke County, VA	12/15/2006	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		1
Wave 2			
1	Total Petrochemicals, USA, Inc.	12/1/2006	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total Completed FRAs			2

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 2 FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Milwaukee Area Tech College	9/15/06	
2	Bloomington, City OF	3/15/07	
3	Bluffton, City Of, IN PH II	1/17/07	
4	ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II	5/17/07	
5	WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II	3/15/07	
6	Pendleton, Town Of, IN PH II	1/17/07	
7	New Albany, City Of	10/2/06	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		7
Wave 2			
1	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	1/3/07	
2	Louisville, City of, KY	3/20/07	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		2
Wave 3			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total Completed FRAs			9

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of June 30, 2007

Completed Stage 2 PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Monterey, County of (CA)	5/11/07	
2	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	6/11/07	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		2
Wave 2			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total Completed FRAs			2

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 9
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:
Meetings and Conferences Attended by TA Representatives
For Quarter Ended June 30, 2007

April 2007:

- Colorado Statewide Radio Systems Meeting
- Colorado APCO Chapter Meeting
- CPRA Meeting (SCA APCO)
- APCO Texas Conference
- Tarrant County Interoperability Group Meeting

May 2007:

- International EDACS User Group Meeting
- UTC Annual Conference
- Gulf Coast Regional APCO Meeting
- Florida APCO Conference

June 2007:

- Lousiana SEIC Meeting

Appendix 10
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
Fees and Expenses through June 30, 2007

	Quarter Ending March 31, 2007	Quarter Ending June 30, 2007	Year-to-Date	Inception-to-Date
Fees:				
Public Safety Outreach*	\$1,384,935	\$1,426,223	\$2,811,157	\$6,662,656
Reconfiguration Management	\$2,085,723	\$1,961,618	\$4,047,341	\$16,592,504
Frequency Management**	\$656,238	\$612,551	\$1,268,789	\$5,656,879
Financial Management	\$693,563	\$616,067	\$1,309,630	\$6,188,580
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$1,802,139	\$1,691,787	\$3,493,926	\$15,673,329
Stakeholder Relationship Management	\$1,031,863	\$878,874	\$1,910,737	\$11,393,131
TA Systems Support	\$437,132	\$461,721	\$898,853	\$6,325,950
Program Management Support	\$594,842	\$582,155	\$1,176,996	\$6,827,990
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$2,206,723	\$1,883,780	\$4,090,502	\$8,070,717
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$10,893,155	\$10,114,774	\$21,007,929	\$83,391,735
Expenses:	\$121,693	\$231,913	\$353,606	\$2,499,066
Total Fees and Expenses	\$11,014,848	\$10,346,687	\$21,361,536	\$85,890,801

* Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2006, Public Safety Outreach fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.

** During the quarters ended December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, all Frequency Management fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.